arXiv:1605.06794v2 [math.AT] 28 Oct 2016

Compactly generated model categories of smooth spaces

Hiroshi Kihara

Center for Mathematical Sciences, University of Aizu, Tsuruga, Ikki-machi, Aizu-Wakamatsu City, Fukushima, 965-8580, Japan
(kihara@u-aizu.ac.jp)

October 31, 2016

Abstract

We construct a compactly generated model structure on the category of diffeological spaces whose weak equivalences are just smooth maps inducing isomorphisms on smooth homotopy groups. Our approach applies to the category of Chen spaces as well.

1 Introduction

The theory of model categories has developed explosively since its introduction by Quillen [27] and has become the modern foundation of homotopy theory. Model categories have been constructed in many fields, such as algebraic geometry [25], complex geometry [22], and operator algebra [26], to solve various problems using homotopical means.

In differential geometry, researchers are beginning to recognize the importance of finding a model category in which to do smooth homotopy theory; in such a category, we can fully utilize a number of consequences from the model category theory (cf. [15], [16]). Since a model category must be complete and cocomplete by definition, we must construct a model structure on the category of some type of smooth spaces (i.e., generalized smooth manifolds).

The main objective of this paper is to endow the category $\mathcal{D}$ of diffeological spaces with a compactly generated model structure whose weak equivalences are just smooth maps inducing isomorphisms on smooth homotopy groups; although we work primarily with diffeological spaces, our approach applies to the category of Chen spaces as well. This paper is the first of a series organizing smooth homotopy theory in the framework of model categories and applying it to (finite- and infinite-dimensional) C^∞-manifolds.

Several authors have attempted to construct a model structure on the category $\mathcal{D}$. Wu [33] and Christensen-Wu [4] defined fibrations, cofibrations, and

weak equivalences in a manner similar to the case of topological spaces, using affine p-spaces instead of the topological standard p-simplices ([4, Definition 4.8] and [15, Definition 7.10.6 and Example 11.1.8]), and conjectured that with their definitions, $\mathcal{D}$ is a model category. In the latest version (version 6) of [13], Haraguchi-Shimakawa used the notion of a tame map ([13, Definition 3.8]) to define fibrations, and claimed that $\mathcal{D}$ is a model category which is not cofibrantly generated ([13, Theorem 5.1]). However, there exists a gap in the proof of [13, Lemma 5.6]. More precisely, the proof of the existence of a lift $\coprod_{(f,g)} I^n \longrightarrow E$ making [13, diagram (5.4)] commutative is incomplete. Taking [13, Definition 4.2] into account, the author considers that they used the assertion that each component of the composite

$$\coprod_{(f,g)} I^n \xrightarrow{\bigcup \Phi_f} G^1(\mathcal{K}, p) \longrightarrow G^\infty(\mathcal{K}, p) \xrightarrow{r} B$$

is tame for any smooth map $r : G^\infty(\mathcal{K}, p) \longrightarrow B$. But, this assertion is incorrect. In fact, any component $I^n \longrightarrow B$ is not tame in the case where r is injective and $n > 0$.

We construct a compactly generated model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ by a new approach, as outlined in the following subsections.

1.1 Category $\mathcal{D}$ of diffeological spaces.

Because of the lack of categorical convenience of smooth manifolds, various generalizations of the notion of a smooth manifold have been presented (cf. [31]). Among such generalizations, the notion of a diffeological space, introduced by Souriau [29, 30] and developed mainly by Iglesias-Zemmour and co-authors [17], has been most extensively studied and applied to various problems [7, 14, 19, 18, 32, 2, 6]. In this subsection, we recall the definition of a diffeological space and summarize the basic properties of the category $\mathcal{D}$ that are needed to state the main results.

A *parametrization* of a set X is a (set-theoretic) map $p : U \longrightarrow X$, where U is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some n.

Definition 1.1. (1) A *diffeological space* is a set X together with a specified set D_X of parametrizations of X satisfying the following conditions:

(i) (Covering) Every constant parametrization $p : U \longrightarrow X$ is in D_X.

(ii) (Locality) Let $p : U \longrightarrow X$ be a parametrization such that there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of U satisfying $p|_{U_i} \in D_X$. Then, p is in D_X.

(iii) (Smooth compatibility) Let $p : U \longrightarrow X$ be in D_X. Then, for every $n \geq 0$, every open set V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and every smooth map $F : V \longrightarrow U$, $p \circ F$ is in D_X.

The set D_X is called the *diffeology* of X, and its elements are called *plots*.

(2) Let $X = (X, D_X)$ and $Y = (Y, D_Y)$ be diffeological spaces, and let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a (set-theoretic) map. We say that f is *smooth* if for any $p \in D_X$, $f \circ p \in D_Y$. Then, diffeological spaces and smooth maps form the category $\mathcal{D}$.

The category $\mathcal{D}$ has the following properties (see Propositions 2.1 and 2.13):

(1) The category $\mathcal{D}$ has initial and final structures with respect to the underlying set functor. In particular, $\mathcal{D}$ is complete and cocomplete. Further, the class of $\mathcal{D}$-embeddings (i.e., injective initial morphisms) is closed under pushouts and transfinite composites.

(2) The category $\mathcal{D}$ is cartesian closed.

(3) The underlying set functor $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow Set$ is factored as the underlying topological space functor $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ followed by the underlying set functor $\mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow Set$, where $\mathcal{C}^0$ denotes the category of arc-generated spaces. Further, the functor $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ has a right adjoint $R : \mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

From property (1), the notions of $\mathcal{D}$-embedding, diffeological subspace, and sub-diffeology are defined in the standard manner (cf. Definition 2.2).

The notion of a Chen space is another generalization of the notion of a smooth manifold. The definition of a Chen space is very similar to that of a diffeological space, and the category of Chen spaces shares the convenient properties (1)-(3) (Lemma 10.2); see [1] for a unified treatment of these two notions. Thus, all constructions and arguments in this paper apply to the case of Chen spaces without essential change (Section 10).

1.2 Standard p-simplices

The principal part of our construction of a model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ is the construction of good diffeologies on the sets

$$\Delta^p = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \mid \sum_i x_i = 1,\ x_i \geq 0\} \quad (p \geq 0)$$

which enable us to define weak equivalences, fibrations, and cofibrations and to verify the model axioms (cf. Section 1.3). The required properties of the diffeologies on Δ^p $(p \geq 0)$ are expressed in the following four axioms:

Axiom 1. *The underlying topological space of Δ^p is the topological standard p-simplex for $p \geq 0$.*

Recall that $f : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ is an *affine map* if f preserves convex combinations.

Axiom 2. *Any affine map $f : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ is smooth.*

Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of simplicial sets. For $K \in \mathcal{S}$, the *simplex category* $\Delta \downarrow K$ is defined to have maps $\sigma : \Delta[n] \longrightarrow K$ as objects and commutative diagrams



as morphisms. Consider the diagram $\Delta \downarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ sending $\sigma : \Delta[n] \longrightarrow K$ to $\Delta[n]$, and let $\operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta[n]$ denote its colimit. Then, there exists a natural isomorphism $\operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta[n] \underset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} K$ ([12, p.7]). Using Axiom 2, we can define the *realization functor*

$$| \, |_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

by $|K|_{\mathcal{D}} = \operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta^n$.

Axiom 3. *Let K be a subcomplex of $\Delta[p]$. Then the canonical smooth injection*

$$|K|_{\mathcal{D}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^p$$

is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding.

The notion of a deformation retract in $\mathcal{D}$ is defined in the same manner as in the category of topological spaces by using the unit interval $I = [0,\ 1]$ endowed with a diffeology via the canonical bijection with Δ^1 (cf. Section 2.4).

The k^{th} *horn* of Δ^p is a diffeological subspace of Δ^p defined by

$$\Lambda^p_k \quad = \quad \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for some } i \neq k\}.$$

Axiom 4. *The k^{th} horn Λ^p_k is a deformation retract of Δ^p in $\mathcal{D}$ for $p \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq p$.*

For a subset A of the affine p-space $\mathbb{A}^p = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \mid \sum x_i = 1\}$, A_{sub} denotes the set A endowed with the sub-diffeology of $\mathbb{A}^p$ ($\cong \mathbb{R}^p$). The set $\{\Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}\}_{p\geq 0}$ of diffeological spaces, used in [14] to study diffeological spaces by homotopical means, is the first candidate of the standard p-simplices satisfying Axioms 1-4. However, Δ^p_{sub} satisfies neither Axiom 3 nor 4 for $p \geq 2$ (Proposition A.2). Thus, we must construct a new diffeology on Δ^p, at least for $p \geq 2$. Let us introduce such diffeologies on Δ^p.

Let (i) denote the vertex $(0, \ldots, \underset{(i)}{1}, \ldots, 0)$ of Δ^p, and let d^i denote the affine map from Δ^{p-1} to Δ^p, defined by

$$d^i((k)) = \begin{cases} (k) & \text{for } k < i, \\ (k+1) & \text{for } k \geq i. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2. We define the *standard p-simplices* Δ^p ($p \geq 0$) inductively. Set $\Delta^p = \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}$ for $p \leq 1$. Suppose that the diffeologies on Δ^k ($k < p$) are defined. We define the map

$$\varphi_i : \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Delta^p$$

by $\varphi_i(x,t) = (1-t)(i) + td^i(x)$, and endow Δ^p with the final structure for the maps $\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_p$.

Without explicit mention, the symbol Δ^p denotes the standard p-simplex defined in Definition 1.2; see Lemmas 3.1 and 4.2, and Remark A.4 for a comparison of the diffeologies of Δ^p and Δ^p_{sub}.

Since the diffeology of Δ^p is the sub-diffeology of $\mathbb{A}^p$ for $p \leq 1$, our notion of a deformation retract in $\mathcal{D}$ coincides with the ordinary notion of a deformation retract in the theory of diffeological spaces ([17, p. 110] and Remark 2.20).

Sections 3-8 are devoted to the verifications of Axioms 1-4 for the standard p-simplices Δ^p.

1.3 Model structure on the category $\mathcal{D}$

By Axiom 2, we can define the singular complex $S^{\mathcal{D}}X$ of a diffeological space X to have smooth maps $\sigma : \Delta^p \longrightarrow X$ as p-simplices, thereby defining the *singular functor* $S^{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We introduce a model structure on the category $\mathcal{D}$ of diffeological spaces in the following theorem; the proof is constructed using only properties (1)-(3) of the category $\mathcal{D}$ and Axioms 1-4 for the standard simplices. See [24] and [15] for model categories and [20], [12], or [24] for the model structure of $\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Define a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$ to be*

(1) *a weak equivalence if $S^{\mathcal{D}}f : S^{\mathcal{D}}X \longrightarrow S^{\mathcal{D}}Y$ is a weak equivalence in the category of simplicial sets,*

(2) *a fibration if the map f has the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions $\Lambda^p_k \hookrightarrow \Delta^p$ for all $p > 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq p$, and*

(3) *a cofibration if the map f has the left lifting property with respect to all maps that are both fibrations and weak equivalences.*

With these choices, $\mathcal{D}$ is a compactly generated model category whose object is always fibrant.

The following theorem shows that the singular complex $S^{\mathcal{D}}X$ captures smooth homotopical properties of X, and that our model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ organizes the smooth homotopy theory of diffeological spaces. See [4, Section 3.1] or [17, Chapter 5] for the smooth homotopy groups $\pi^{\mathcal{D}}_p(X, x)$ of a pointed diffeological space (X, x), and see [12, p. 25] for the homotopy groups $\pi_p(K, x)$ of a pointed Kan complex (K, x).

Theorem 1.4. *Let (X, x) be a pointed diffeological space. Then, there exists a natural bijection*

$$\Theta_X : \pi^{\mathcal{D}}_p(X, x) \longrightarrow \pi_p(S^{\mathcal{D}}X, x) \quad \textit{for} \quad p \geq 0,$$

that is an isomorphism of groups for $p > 0$.

From Theorem 1.4, we see that weak equivalences are just smooth maps inducing isomorphisms on smooth homotopy groups (Corollary 9.12).

In the forthcoming paper, we establish the Quillen equivalences between the model categories $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{C}^0$, which can be applied to the theory of infinite-dimensional C^∞-manifolds (in the sense of Frölicher-Kriegl) (cf. [21]).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review diffeological spaces and their underlying topological spaces. In Section 3, Axiom 1 for the standard p-simplices is verified. In Section 4, the diffeology of the standard p-simplex Δ^p is studied and the notion of a good neighborhood of an open simplex of Δ^p, which is used to verify Axioms 2-4 for the standard p-simplices, is introduced. Axiom 2 is verified in Section 5. Using $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies constructed in Section 6, Axioms 3 and 4 are verified in Sections 7 and 8, respectively. Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are proved in Section 9. The construction of a model structure on the category of Chen spaces is outlined in Section 10. In the Appendix, it is observed that Δ^p_{sub} satisfies neither Axiom 3 nor 4 for $p > 1$.

2 Diffeological spaces and their underlying topological spaces

In this section, we study diffeological spaces and their underlying topological spaces primarily from a categorical view point, and then introduce the basic homotopical notions in the category $\mathcal{D}$. Although we prove several useful lemmas in Section 2.1, the main objective of this section is to recall the basic definitions and results for the reader's convenience and to fix notation and terminology; most results in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 are found in [3], [28], and [21].

A good reference for diffeological spaces is the book [17]; see also Section 2 of [3], which is an elegant three-page introduction to diffeological spaces. Other major references for this section are the books of Frölicher-Kriegl [11] and Kriegl-Michor [21]; their work is another genealogy of generalizations of finite-dimensional calculus. A C^∞-manifold M in the sense of Frölicher-Kriegl ([21, p. 264]) is regarded as a diffeological space by defining the diffeology D_M to be the set of C^∞-parametrizations.

2.1 Diffeological spaces

The category $\mathcal{D}$ of diffeological spaces has the obvious underlying set functor. See [11, pp. 230-233] for initial and final structures, and initial and final morphisms with respect to the underlying set functor. See [24, Definition 14.1.6] for a transfinite composite.

Proposition 2.1. (1) *The category $\mathcal{D}$ has initial and final structures with respect to the underlying set functor. In particular, $\mathcal{D}$ is complete and cocomplete. Further, the class of $\mathcal{D}$-embeddings (i.e., injective initial morphisms) is closed under pushouts and transfinite composites.*
(2) *The category $\mathcal{D}$ is cartesian closed.*

Proof. (1) Initial and final structures are constructed as in [3, p. 90]. Thus, it is easily seen that the underlying set functor $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow Set$ creates limits and colimits ([3, Theorem 2.5]).

To prove the last statement, suppose that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} & Y \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ such that i is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding. From the construction of colimits in $\mathcal{D}$, we see that j is also a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding. Next suppose that λ is an ordinal and $X : \lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a λ-sequence in $\mathcal{D}$ (i.e., a colimit-preserving functor) such that the map $X_\beta \longrightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding for $\beta + 1 < \lambda$. From the construction of colimits in $\mathcal{D}$, we can see that the transfinite composite $X_0 \longrightarrow \underset{\beta<\lambda}{\operatorname{colim}} X_\beta$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding by transfinite induction.

(2) See [17, pp. 35-36]. □

By Proposition 2.1(1) and [15, Proposition 10.2.7], the class of $\mathcal{D}$-embeddings is closed under coproducts, and hence, under the formation of relative cell complexes ([24, Definition 15.1.1]).

Refer to [1] for further categorical properties of $\mathcal{D}$. Recall the following special cases of initial and final structures, which are most important in practice.

Definition 2.2. (1) A smooth map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is called a *$\mathcal{D}$-embedding* if f is injective and initial with respect to the underlying set functor. A diffeological space A is called a *diffeological subspace* of X if A is a subset of X endowed with the initial structure for the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow X$; the diffeology of A is called the *sub-diffeology* of X.

A smooth map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding if and only if f corestricts to a diffeomorphism onto the image $f(X)$ endowed with the sub-diffeology of Y.
(2) A smooth map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a *$\mathcal{D}$-quotient map* if f is surjective and final with respect to the underlying set functor. A diffeological space Z is a *quotient diffeological space* of X if Z is a quotient set of X endowed with the final structure for the projection $X \longrightarrow Z$; the diffeology of Z is the *quotient diffeology* of X.

The following three lemmas are used repeatedly in this paper.

Lemma 2.3. *Consider the commutative square in $\mathcal{D}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \overset{i}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ B & \underset{j}{\longrightarrow} & Y \end{array} \qquad (S)$$

with j a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding. Then, the square (S) is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if (S) is a pullback diagram in Set and i is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding.

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) Since a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ is also a pullback diagram in Set (see the proof of Proposition 2.1(1)), we need to only show that i is initial.

Let S be a diffeological space and $f : S \longrightarrow A$ a set-theoretic map with if smooth. Then, we have the implications

$$if \text{ is smooth} \Longrightarrow qif \text{ is smooth} \Longrightarrow pf \text{ is smooth},$$

since j is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding. Since (S) is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$, the smoothness of if and pf implies the smoothness of f. Hence, i is initial.
($\Longleftarrow$) Obvious. □

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & X \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ B & \xrightarrow[\ j\ ]{} & Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$.
(1) *If j is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding, then i is also a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding.*
(2) *If q is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map, then p is also a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map.*

Proof. (1) The result is immediate from Lemma 2.3.
(2) Since p is obviously surjective (see the proof of Proposition 2.1(1)), we need to only show that p is final. For a parametrization $\beta : U \longrightarrow B$, we have the implications

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \in D_B &\Longrightarrow j \circ \beta \in D_Y \\ &\Longrightarrow j \circ \beta \text{ lifts locally along } q \text{ in } \mathcal{D} \\ &\Longrightarrow \beta \text{ lifts locally along } p \text{ in } \mathcal{D}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof (see [3, p. 90]). □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $p : A \longrightarrow B$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map. Then, $p \times 1_X : A \times X \longrightarrow B \times X$ is also a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map for any diffeological space X.*

Proof. The result is easily proved using the cartesian closedness of $\mathcal{D}$ (Proposition 2.1(2)). Alternatively, since $p \times 1_X : A \times X \longrightarrow B \times X$ is a pullback of $p : A \longrightarrow B$ along $proj : B \times X \longrightarrow B$, the result can be deduced from Lemma 2.4(2). □

2.2 Arc-generated spaces

A topological space X is *arc-generated* if the topology of X is final for $C^0_X := \{\text{continuous curves from } \mathbb{R} \text{ to } X\}$ (cf. [11, p. 231]). We investigate the fundamental properties of arc-generated spaces; the category of arc-generated spaces becomes the target category of the underlying topological space functor for diffeological spaces (cf. Section 2.3).

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the category of topological spaces and $\mathcal{C}^0$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of arc-generated spaces.

Proposition 2.6. *The category $\mathcal{C}^0$ has initial and final structures with respect to the underlying set functor. In particular, $\mathcal{C}^0$ is complete and cocomplete.*

Proof. Initial and final structures are easily constructed. See the proof of Proposition 2.1(1) for the latter part. □

For a topological space X, αX is the set X endowed with the final topology for C^0_X. Then, α defines a functor $\alpha : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$. Let I be the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

Lemma 2.7. *$I : \mathcal{C}^0 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{T} : \alpha$ is an adjoint pair, and the composite αI is the identity functor of $\mathcal{C}^0$.*

Proof. The result is obvious from the definitions. □

The following lemma shows that many important spaces are arc-generated.

Lemma 2.8. (1) *If X is a locally arcwise connected space that satisfies the first axiom of countability, then X is arc-generated.*

(2) *Every CW-complex is arc-generated.*

Proof. (1) The proof is not difficult (cf. the proof of [3, Proposition 3.11]).

(2) Since a CW-complex is constructed from the disks D^n and spheres S^{n-1} via colimits in $\mathcal{T}$, the result follows from Part 1 and Lemma 2.7. □

A topological space X is *Δ-generated* (respectively, *Δ^1-generated*) if the topology of X is final for the singular simplices (respectively, the singular 1-simplices). The notions of arc-generatedness, Δ^1-generatedness, and Δ-generatedness are mutually equivalent. This follows from the obvious implications

$$\text{arc-generated} \implies \Delta^1\text{-generated} \implies \Delta\text{-generated},$$

and the fact that Δ^p is arc-generated (Lemma 2.8(1)).

Proposition 2.9. (1) *The category $\mathcal{C}^0$ is cartesian closed. More precisely, the exponential law in the category of sets restricts to the natural bijection*

$$\mathcal{C}^0(A, \mathcal{C}^0(X, Y)) \cong \mathcal{C}^0(A \times X, Y),$$

where the mapping space $\mathcal{C}^0(X,Y)$ is defined by applying α to the hom-set $\mathcal{C}^0(X,Y)$ endowed with compact-open topology.
(2) *Let X and Y be arc-generated spaces, with Y locally compact. Then, $X \underset{\mathcal{C}^0}{\times} Y = X \underset{\mathcal{T}}{\times} Y$ holds, where $\underset{C^0}{\times}$ and $\underset{\mathcal{T}}{\times}$ denote the products in $\mathcal{C}^0$ and $\mathcal{T}$, respectively.*

Proof. Since being arc-generated is the same as being Δ^1-generated, the result follows from [34, Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, and Examples 3.5]. □

Remark 2.10. As mentioned above, Wyler [34] showed that the category of Δ^1-generated spaces is cartesian closed. Frölicher and Kriegl referred to the notion of arc-generated spaces and their cartesian closedness in [11, p. 45] and used them in their infinite-dimensional calculus. Dugger [8], Fajstrup-Rosický [10], Shimakawa-Yoshida-Haraguchi [28], and Christensen-Sinnamon-Wu [3] studied Δ-generated spaces. The equivalence of arc-generatedness, Δ^1-generatedness, and Δ-generatedness is pointed out in [3, p. 94].

Remark 2.11. The category $\mathcal{C}^0$ is a compactly generated model category whose object is always fibrant (cf. [15, Notation 1.1.2 and Example 11.1.8]), which is shown in the same manner as in the cases of the category of topological spaces ([9, Section 8]) and that of compactly generated Hausdorff spaces ([12, Proposition 9.2 in Chapter I]). We can easily see that the adjoint pair $I : \mathcal{C}^0 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{T} : \alpha$ is a pair of Quillen equivalences.

2.3 Underlying topological space of a diffeological space

For a diffeological space $A = (A, D_A)$, the *underlying topological space* $\widetilde{A}$ is defined to be the set A endowed with the final topology for D_A; the underlying topology of A is also referred to as the *D-topology* of A. (We often omit the symbol $\widetilde{\ }$ if there is no confusion in context.)

For a diffeological space A, we also consider the final topology for $C_A := \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, A)$, which is called the c^∞-topology of A, and write $c^\infty A$ for the set A endowed with the c^∞-topology.

Lemma 2.12. *Let V be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. If V is open or convex, then the c^∞-topology of the diffeological subspace V coincides with the topology induced from $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. We can easily prove the result using Special Curve Lemma [21, p. 18]. □

From Lemma 2.12, it is easily seen that the D-topology of a diffeological space A coincides with the c^∞-topology of A. Thus, we have the underlying space functor $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$. For an arc-generated space X, RX is the set X endowed with the diffeology D_{RX} consisting of all continuous parametrizations. Then, R defines a functor from $\mathcal{C}^0$ to $\mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 2.13. *$\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{D} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^0 : R$ is an adjoint pair, and the composite $\widetilde{\cdot} \circ R$ is the identity functor of $\mathcal{C}^0$.*

Proof. Obvious. □

We have constructed the two adjoint pairs

$$\mathcal{D} \underset{R}{\overset{\widetilde{\cdot}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{C}^0 \underset{\alpha}{\overset{I}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{T}$$

(Lemmas 2.7 and 2.13).

Lemma 2.14. *The functors $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ and $I : \mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ preserve final structures with respect to the underlying set functors. In particular, if Y is a quotient diffeological space of X, then $\widetilde{Y}$ is a quotient topological space of $\widetilde{X}$.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from [11, Proposition 8.7.4]. □

We also use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. *If X is a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some n, then the D-topology of the diffeological subspace X coincides with the topology induced from $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Since D-topology and c^∞-topology are equivalent, the result is immediate from Lemma 2.12. □

Remark 2.16. Let A be a diffeological space, and B a diffeological subspace of A. The D-topology of B need not coincide with the topology induced from the D-topology of A. (See [3, Example 3.20] and [21, Example 24.7].)

Propositions 2.9, 2.13, and 2.17 explain that $\mathcal{C}^0$ is more suitable than $\mathcal{T}$ as the target category of the underlying topological space functor for diffeological spaces.

Proposition 2.17. *The underlying topological space functor $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ preserves finite products.*

Proof. See [3, Lemma 4.1] and the comment after it. □

Remark 2.18. A group in $\mathcal{D}$ (respectively, a group in $\mathcal{C}^0$) is called a *diffeological group* (respectively, an *arc-generated group*). If G is a diffeological group, then $\widetilde{G}$ is an arc-generated group (see Proposition 2.17). Since the D-topology of $A \times B$ need not coincide with the product of the D-topologies of A and B, the underlying space of a diffeological group need not be a topological group (see [21, pp. 41-46]).

Remark 2.19. Kriegl-Michor [21] used the c^∞-topologies as the underlying topologies of C^∞-manifolds and Frölicher spaces, which were intensively studied in [21, Sections 4 and 24]. The equivalence of the two topologies is pointed out in [3, Theorem 3.7].

2.4 Homotopical notions in the category $\mathcal{D}$

Endow $I = [0,1]$ with a diffeology via the canonical bijection with Δ^1. A $\mathcal{D}$-*homotopy* (or *smooth homotopy*) H between smooth maps $f, g : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a smooth map $H : X \times I \longrightarrow Y$ such that $H(\cdot, 0) = f$ and $H(\cdot, 1) = g$.

A diffeological subspace A of a diffeological space X is a $\mathcal{D}$-*deformation retract* (or *deformation retract in* $\mathcal{D}$) if there is a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy $H : X \times I \longrightarrow X$ such that $H(x, 0) = x$, $H(a, t) = a$, and $H(x, 1) \in A$ for all $x \in X$, $a \in A$, and $t \in I$. Such a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy is called a $\mathcal{D}$-*deformation* of X onto A.

We say that f is $\mathcal{D}$-*homotopic* to g and write $f \simeq_{\mathcal{D}} g$ (or $f \simeq g$ in $\mathcal{D}$) if there is a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy between f and g. The relation $\simeq_{\mathcal{D}}$ is an equivalence relation (see Remark 2.20) and the quotient set $\mathcal{D}(X, Y)/\simeq_{\mathcal{D}}$ is denoted by $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{D}}$.

We say that diffeological spaces X and Y have the same $\mathcal{D}$-*homotopy type* and write $X \simeq_{\mathcal{D}} Y$ (or $X \simeq Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$) if there exist smooth maps $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \longrightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f \simeq_{\mathcal{D}} 1_X$ and $f \circ g \simeq_{\mathcal{D}} 1_Y$. A diffeological space X is called $\mathcal{D}$-*contractible* (or *contractible in* $\mathcal{D}$) if X has the same $\mathcal{D}$-*homotopy type* as the terminal object $*$.

Remark 2.20. Since $\Delta^1 = \Delta^1_{\mathrm{sub}}$ (Definition 1.2), the diffeology of I is just the sub-diffeology of $\mathbb{R}$. Using a cut-off function, we can easily see that the relation $\simeq_{\mathcal{D}}$ is an equivalence relation and that our notion of smooth homotopy is equivalent to that of ordinary smooth homotopy ([4, p. 1276], [17, p. 108]).

Let I_{top} denote the unit interval $I = [0,1]$ endowed with the ordinary topology. Since I_{top} is arc-generated (Lemma 2.8), $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopies and the $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopy relation $\simeq_{\mathcal{C}^0}$ are defined as in the category $\mathcal{T}$ of topological spaces. By Proposition 2.9(2), $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopical notions are just the restrictions of ordinary homotopical notions.

We have the following fundamental result.

Proposition 2.21. *Let $f, g : X \longrightarrow Y$ be smooth maps between diffeological spaces. If f is $\mathcal{D}$-homotopic to g, then $\widetilde{f}$ is $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopic to $\widetilde{g}$. In particular, the natural map*

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow [\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}]_{\mathcal{C}^0}$$

exists.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{I} = I_{\mathrm{top}}$ (Lemma 2.15), the result is immediate from Proposition 2.17. □

3 Verification of Axiom 1

In this section, we verify Axiom 1.

For a category $\mathcal{C}$ having the (faithful) underlying set functor, we adopt the following notation throughout this paper:

(1) For $X \in \mathcal{C}$, 1_X (or 1) denotes the identity morphism of X.

(2) For $X_1,\ X_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ having the same underlying set, $id : X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ is the set-theoretic identity map or the morphism whose underlying set-theoretic map is the identity map.

Let us begin by proving the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The identity map $id : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}$ is smooth.*

Proof. For $p \leq 1$, the result is obvious. Suppose that the result holds up to $p-1$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) & \xrightarrow{id \times 1} & \Delta^{p-1}_{\mathrm{sub}} \times [0,1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i} \\ \Delta^p & \xrightarrow{\quad id \quad} & \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}, \end{array}$$

and note that $\varphi_i \circ (id \times 1)$ is smooth by the induction hypothesis. Then, $id : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}$ is smooth by Definition 1.2. □

Proposition 3.2 (**Axiom 1**). *The underlying space of Δ^p is the topological standard p-simplex for $p \geq 0$.*

Proof. The topological standard p-simplex is denoted by Δ^p_{top}.

For $p = 0$, the result is obvious. Suppose that $\widetilde{\Delta^{p-1}} = \Delta^{p-1}_{\mathrm{top}}$. For a sufficiently small positive number ϵ, consider the composite of smooth surjections

$$\coprod_i \Delta^{p-1} \times [0, 1-\epsilon] \xrightarrow{\sum \varphi_i} \Delta^p \xrightarrow{id} \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}$$

(see Lemma 3.1). Applying the functor $\widetilde{\cdot}$, we have the composite of continuous surjections

$$\left(\coprod_i \Delta^{p-1} \times [0, 1-\epsilon] \right)^{\sim} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\sum \varphi_i}} \widetilde{\Delta^p} \xrightarrow{id} \widetilde{\Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\coprod_i \Delta^{p-1} \times [0, 1-\epsilon] \right)^{\sim} &= \coprod_i \left(\Delta^{p-1} \times [0, 1-\epsilon] \right)^{\sim} \\ &= \coprod_i \left(\Delta^{p-1} \right)^{\sim} \underset{\mathcal{C}^0}{\times} \left([0, 1-\epsilon] \right)^{\sim} \\ &= \coprod_i \Delta^{p-1}_{\mathrm{top}} \underset{\mathcal{C}^0}{\times} [0, 1-\epsilon] \\ &= \coprod_i \Delta^{p-1}_{\mathrm{top}} \underset{\mathcal{T}}{\times} [0, 1-\epsilon] \end{aligned}$$

by Propositions 2.13 and 2.17, the induction hypothesis, Lemma 2.15, and Proposition 2.9(2). Then, $\widetilde{\left(\coprod_i \Delta^{p-1} \times [0, 1-\epsilon]\right)}$, and hence, $\widetilde{\Delta^p}$ is compact. Since the equality $\widetilde{\Delta^p_{\rm sub}} = \Delta^p_{\rm top}$ holds (Lemma 2.15)., we see that $id : \widetilde{\Delta^p} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Delta^p_{\rm sub}} = \Delta^p_{\rm top}$ is a homeomorphism. □

4 Local diffeological structure of the standard p-simplex Δ^p

In this section, we study the diffeology of Δ^p and introduce the notion of a good neighborhood of an open simplex of Δ^p, which is used to verify Axioms 2-4.

4.1 Comparison of the diffeologies of Δ^p and $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$

We have seen that $id : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ is smooth (Lemma 3.1). In this subsection, we further compare the diffeologies of Δ^p and $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$. First, we introduce various important subsets of Δ^p; the k^{th} horn Λ^p_k has already been introduced in Section 1.2.

Definition 4.1. The *interior* $\mathring{\Delta}^p$ and the *boundary* $\dot{\Delta}^p$ of Δ^p are defined by

$$\mathring{\Delta}^p = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i > 0 \text{ for any } i \},$$
$$\dot{\Delta}^p = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for some } i\}.$$

For $0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq p$, the *closed k-simplex* $\langle i_0, \ldots, i_k \rangle$ and the *open k-simplex* $(i_0, \ldots, i_k)$ are defined by

$$\langle i_0, \ldots, i_k \rangle = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}\},$$
$$(i_0, \ldots, i_k) = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i = 0 \text{ if and only if } i \notin \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}\}.$$

Especially, $\langle 0, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, p \rangle$ and $(0, \ldots, \hat{i}, \ldots, p)$ are often denoted by $\Delta^{p-1}_{(i)}$ and $\mathring{\Delta}^{p-1}_{(i)}$, respectively. The boundary $\dot{\Delta}^{p-1}_{(i)}$ of $\Delta^{p-1}_{(i)}$ is defined to be the subset $\Delta^{p-1}_{(i)} \backslash \mathring{\Delta}^{p-1}_{(i)}$.

The subset $\Delta^p_{\hat{i}} := \Delta^p \backslash \Delta^{p-1}_{(i)}$ is called the i^{th} *half-open simplex*. The subset $\mathrm{sk}_l\ \Delta^p := \bigcup_{k \leq l} (i_0, \ldots, i_k)$ is called the *l-skeleton* of Δ^p.

Without explicit mention, a subsets of Δ^p are endowed with the sub-diffeology of Δ^p; a subset A of Δ^p endowed with the sub-diffeology of $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ is denoted by $A_{\rm sub}$, which is compatible with the notation introduced in Section 1.2.

Lemma 4.2. $\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p = (\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p)_{\rm sub}$.

Proof. For $p \leq 1$, the result is obvious. Suppose that the result holds up to $p-1$, and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\substack{0\leq i\leq p \\ \sum \varphi_i|_{\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p}}} (\Delta^{p-1} - \mathrm{sk}_{p-3}\ \Delta^{p-1}) \times (0,1) & \hookrightarrow & \coprod_{0\leq i\leq p} \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum \varphi_i \\ \Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^p. \end{array}$$

This diagram is a pullback diagram in the category of sets. If $A \hookrightarrow X$ and $B \hookrightarrow Y$ are $\mathcal{D}$-embeddings, then $A \times B \hookrightarrow X \times Y$ is also a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding. Thus, the upper horizontal arrow of the above diagram is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding, and hence, the above diagram is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ (Lemma 2.3). Since $\sum \varphi_i$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map, $\sum \varphi_i|_{\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p}$ is also a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map (Lemma 2.4(2)). Next, consider the commutative diagram in $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\substack{0\leq i\leq p \\ \sum \varphi_i|_{\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p}}} (\Delta^{p-1} - \mathrm{sk}_{p-3}\ \Delta^{p-1}) \times (0,1) & \xrightarrow{id} & \coprod_{0\leq i\leq p} (\Delta^{p-1} - \mathrm{sk}_{p-3}\Delta^{p-1})_{\mathrm{sub}} \times (0,1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sum \varphi_i|_{\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p} \\ \Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p & \xrightarrow{id} & (\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\Delta^p)_{\mathrm{sub}} \end{array}$$

(see Lemma 3.1). We have shown that the left vertical arrow is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map. Since $\varphi_i\ |_{\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p} : (\Delta^{p-1} - \mathrm{sk}_{p-3}\Delta^{p-1})_{\mathrm{sub}} \times (0,1) \longrightarrow (\Delta^p - \mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\Delta^p)_{\mathrm{sub}}$ is obviously an open $\mathcal{D}$-embedding for any i, the right vertical arrow is also a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map. Since the upper horizontal arrow is a diffeomorphism by the induction hypothesis, the lower horizontal arrow is also a diffeomorphism. □

The diffeologies of Δ^p and Δ^p_{sub} actually differ along $\mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p$ (see Remark A.4).

4.2 Good neighborhood of an open simplex of Δ^p

In view of Lemma 4.2, it is important to understand the diffeology of a neighborhood of each open simplex of Δ^p (especially of codimension ≥ 2). This is the objective in this subsection.

Let $I = \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}$ be a subset of the ordered set $\{0, \ldots,\ p\}$; we always assume that the elements $i_0, \ldots, i_k$ are arranged such that $i_0 < \cdots < i_k$. Then, Δ^I is the closed k-simplex $\langle i_0, \ldots, i_k\rangle$ endowed with the diffeology that makes the affine bijection $\phi_I : \Delta^k \longrightarrow \langle i_0, \ldots, i_k\rangle$ defined by $\phi_I((j)) = (i_j)$ a diffeomorphism. The i^{th} half-open simplex $\Delta^I_{\hat{i}}$ $(i \in I)$, the interior $\mathring{\Delta}^I$, and the boundary $\dot{\Delta}^I$ of Δ^I are defined in the obvious manner (cf. Definition 4.1). For $l \in \{0, \ldots, p\}\backslash I$,

$$\varphi_l : \Delta^I \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^{I\cup\{l\}}$$

is defined by

$$\varphi_l(x,t) = (1-t)(l) + tx.$$

The map $\varphi_i : \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ in Definition 1.2 is identified with $\varphi_i : \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,p\}} \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ in the obvious manner.

Lemma 4.3. *For $p > 0$ and $0 \leq j \leq p$, $\varphi_j : \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\hat{j}}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map.*

Proof. For $p = 1$, the result is obvious. Suppose that the result holds up to $p-1$, and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i \neq j} \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,p\}}_{\hat{j}} \times (0,1) \coprod \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{j},\ldots,p\}} \times [0,1) & \hookrightarrow & \coprod_i \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,p\}} \times [0,1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sum_{i \neq j} \varphi_i + \varphi_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sum \varphi_i} \\ \Delta^p_{\hat{j}} & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^p. \end{array}$$

We can show that this diagram is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ by an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.2. Since $\sum_i \varphi_i$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map (Definition 1.2), $\sum_{i \neq j} \varphi_i + \varphi_j$ is also a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map (Lemma 2.4(2)). Thus, from the construction of a final structure ([3, p. 90]), we need to only show that for any $i \ (\neq j)$, the map ϕ defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,p\}}_{\hat{j}} \times (0,1) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{j},\ldots,p\}}_{\hat{i}} \times (0,1) \\ & {\scriptstyle \varphi_i} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & \\ & \Delta^p_{\hat{j}} & \end{array}$$

is smooth. We easily see that

$$\phi((1-\tau)(j) + \tau y, t) = \left(\frac{1-t}{1-t(1-\tau)}(i) + \frac{t\tau}{1-t(1-\tau)} y, 1 - t(1-\tau) \right).$$

Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,\hat{j},\ldots,p\}} \times [0,1) \times (0,1) & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,\hat{j},\ldots,p\}} \times [0,1) \times (0,1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j \times 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i \times 1} \\ \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{i},\ldots,p\}}_{\hat{j}} \times (0,1) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Delta^{\{0,\ldots,\hat{j},\ldots,p\}}_{\hat{i}} \times (0,1), \end{array}$$

where ϕ' is defined by

$$\phi'(y, \tau, t) = \left(y, \frac{t\tau}{1-t(1-\tau)}, 1 - t(1-\tau) \right).$$

Note that $(\varphi_i \times 1) \circ \phi'$ is smooth, and that $\varphi_j \times 1$ is final by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.5. Thus, ϕ is smooth. □

We also use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Any permutation σ of the vertices of Δ^p extends to a smooth affine map from Δ^p to Δ^p.*

Proof. Since $\sum_i \varphi_i : \coprod_i \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ is final (Definition 1.2), we can prove the result by induction. □

The following proposition describes the local diffeological structure of Δ^p.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $I = \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}$ be a subset of the ordered set $\{0, \ldots, p\}$ with $0 \leq k < p$, and define the open neighborhood U_I of the open simplex $(i_0, \ldots, i_k)$ of Δ^p by*

$$U_I = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i > 0 \text{ for } i \in I\}.$$

Then, the map

$$\Phi_I : U_I \longrightarrow \mathring{\Delta}^k \times \Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}}$$

defined by

$$\Phi_I(x_0, \ldots, x_p) = \left(\frac{x_{i_0}(0) + \cdots + x_{i_k}(k)}{x_{i_0} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}, (x_{i_0} + \cdots + x_{i_k})(0) + x_{j_1}(1) + \cdots + x_{j_{p-k}}(p-k)\right)$$

is a diffeomorphism, where $\{j_1, \ldots, j_{p-k}\} = \{0, \ldots, p\} \backslash \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}$.

For the proof of Proposition 4.5, we introduce the notion of the join of diffeological spaces. The *join*, $X * Y$, of two diffeological spaces X and Y is defined to be the quotient diffeological space $X \times [0,1] \times Y / \sim$, where $(x, 0, y) \sim (x, 0, y')$ and $(x, 1, y) \sim (x', 1, y)$ for every $x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$.

Let $I = \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}$ be a subset of the ordered set $\{0, \ldots, p\}$, and set $J = \{0, \ldots, p\} \backslash I$. Then, the canonical homeomorphism

$$\psi_I : \Delta^I * \Delta^J \longrightarrow \Delta^p$$

is defined by $\psi_I([x, \tau, y]) = (1 - \tau)x + \tau y$ (see Lemma 2.14 and Propositions 2.17, 3.2, and 2.9). It is an essential part of the proof of Proposition 4.5 to show that ψ_I restricts to a diffeomorphism from $\psi_I^{-1}(U_I)$ to U_I. (Note that we do not insist that ψ_I is smooth.)

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We prove the proposition in three steps.

Step 1. We show that the diffeological subspace $\psi_I^{-1}(U_I)$ of $\Delta^I * \Delta^J$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathring{\Delta}^k \times \Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}}$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{\Delta}^I \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^I \times [0,1] \times \Delta^J \\ {\scriptstyle \pi|_{\psi_I^{-1}(U_I)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \psi_I^{-1}(U_I) & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^I * \Delta^J, \end{array}$$

where $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_{p-k}\}$ and π is the canonical $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map. We can show that this diagram is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ by an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.2. Since $\pi|_{\psi_I^{-1}(U_I)}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map by Lemma 2.4(2),

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_I^{-1}(U_I) &= \mathring{\Delta}^I \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim \\ &\cong \mathring{\Delta}^I \times ([0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim) \\ &\cong \mathring{\Delta}^k \times \Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}},\end{aligned}$$

where the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $[0,1) \times \Delta^J$ is defined by $(0,y) \sim (0,y')$ for every $y, y' \in Y$ (see Lemmas 2.5 and 4.3).

Step 2. We show that $\psi_I^{-1}(U_I) = \mathring{\Delta}^I \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim \xrightarrow{\psi_I} U_I$ is a diffeomorphism. For $k = 0$, ψ_I is a diffeomorphism by Lemma 4.3. Suppose that for any $I = \{i_0, \ldots, i_{k-1}\}$, ψ_I is a diffeomorphism. We show that for any $I = \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}$, ψ_I is a diffeomorphism. For simplicity, let $I = \{0, \ldots, k\}$ (Lemma 4.4). For $I' := \{1, \ldots, k\}$, the open neighborhood $U_{I'}$ of the open simplex $(1, \ldots, k)$ of $\Delta^{\{1,\ldots,p\}}$ is defined similarly. Then, the map

$$\psi_{I'} : \mathring{\Delta}^{I'} \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim \longrightarrow U_{I'},$$

defined by $\psi_{I'}([x', \sigma, y]) = (1-\sigma)x' + \sigma y$, is a diffeomorphism by the induction hypothesis, where $J = \{k+1, \ldots, p\}$.

Consider the solid arrow diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0,1) \times (\mathring{\Delta}^{I'} \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim) & \xrightarrow{1 \times \psi_{I'}} & (0,1) \times U_{I'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathring{\Delta}^I \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim & \overset{\psi'}{\dashrightarrow} & U_I, \end{array}$$

where the left and right vertical arrows are defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (s, [x', \sigma, y]) & & (s, (1-\sigma)x' + \sigma y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [(1-s)(0) + sx', \sigma, y] & & (1-s)(0) + s((1-\sigma)x' + \sigma y), \end{array}$$

and

respectively. Since the canonical isomorphisms

$$(0,1) \times (\mathring{\Delta}^{I'} \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim) \cong ((0,1) \times \mathring{\Delta}^{I'}) \times ([0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim),$$

$$\mathring{\Delta}^I \times [0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim \cong \mathring{\Delta}^I \times ([0,1) \times \Delta^J/\sim)$$

exist (Lemma 2.5), the left vertical arrow is obviously a diffeomorphism (see Lemma 4.2). The right vertical arrow is also a diffeomorphism since it is a restriction of the $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map

$$[0,1)\times\Delta^{\{1,\dots,p\}}\cong\Delta^{\{1,\dots,p\}}\times[0,1)\xrightarrow{\varphi_0}\Delta^p_{\hat{0}}$$

(see Lemma 4.3). Thus, we define the diffeomorphism $\psi':\mathring{\Delta}^I\times[0,1)\times\Delta^J/\sim\longrightarrow U_I$ by the commutativity of the above diagram.

Since ψ_I sends $[(1-t)(0)+tx',\tau,y]$ to $(1-\tau)\{(1-t)(0)+tx'\}+\tau y$, the composite $\psi'^{-1}\psi_I:\mathring{\Delta}^I\times[0,1)\times\Delta^J/\sim\longrightarrow\mathring{\Delta}^I\times[0,1)\times\Delta^J/\sim$ is given by

$$[(1-t)(0)+tx',\tau,y]\longmapsto\left[(1-\tau)(1-t)(0)+\{1-(1-\tau)(1-t)\}x',\frac{\tau}{1-(1-\tau)(1-t)},y\right],$$

which is obviously smooth (see Lemma 4.2). Since the composite $\psi_I^{-1}\psi':\mathring{\Delta}^I\times[0,1)\times\Delta^J/\sim\longrightarrow\mathring{\Delta}^I\times[0,1)\times\Delta^J/\sim$ is given by

$$[(1-s)(0)+sx',\sigma,y]\longmapsto\left[\frac{1-s}{1-s\sigma}(0)+\frac{s-s\sigma}{1-s\sigma}x',s\sigma,y\right],$$

$\psi_I^{-1}\psi'$ is also smooth (see Lemma 4.2). Hence, $\psi_I=\psi'\circ(\psi'^{-1}\psi_I)$ is a diffeomorphism.

Step 3. We can easily see that Φ_I is just the inverse of the diffeomorphism

$$\mathring{\Delta}^k\times\Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}}\cong\mathring{\Delta}^I\times[0,1)\times\Delta^J/\sim\xrightarrow[\cong]{\psi_I}U_I.$$

□

Definition 4.6. For $I=\{i_0,\dots,i_k\}$ $(0\le k<p)$ and $0<\epsilon\le 1$, $U_I(\epsilon)$ denotes the diffeological subspace of Δ^p defined by

$$U_I(\epsilon)=\{(x_0,\dots,x_p)\in\Delta^p\mid x_i>0\text{ for }i\in I\text{ and }x_{i_0}+\cdots+x_{i_k}>1-\epsilon\},$$

which is called a *good neighborhood* of an open simplex $(i_0,\dots,i_k)$ of Δ^p.

For $0<\epsilon\le 1$, $\Delta^l_{\hat{i}}(\epsilon)$ denotes the diffeological subspace of Δ^l defined by

$$\Delta^l_{\hat{i}}(\epsilon)=\{(x_0,\dots,x_l)\in\ \Delta^l\mid x_i>1-\epsilon\}.$$

By Proposition 4.5, we have the diffeomorphism

$$\Phi_I:U_I(\epsilon)\underset{\cong}{\longrightarrow}\mathring{\Delta}^k\times\Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}}(\epsilon).$$

Remark 4.7. This remark, which relates to Proposition 4.5, is used in Section 5.

(1) From Proposition 4.5, the composite

$$U_I \xrightarrow{\Phi_I} \mathring{\Delta}^k \times \Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}} \xrightarrow{proj} \Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}}$$

extends to an affine surjection from Δ^p to Δ^{p-k}.

(2) From the definition of Φ_I, we see that the map

$$\Phi_I : U_{I\ \mathrm{sub}} \longrightarrow \mathring{\Delta}^k_{\mathrm{sub}} \times \Delta^{p-k}_{\hat{0}\ \mathrm{sub}}$$

is also a diffeomorphism.

5 Verification of Axiom 2

In this section, we verify Axiom 2. Recall that a map $f : A \longrightarrow B$ between convex sets is affine if f preserves convex combinations. We do not assume that an affine map $f : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ sends vertices to vertices.

Proposition 5.1. (Axiom 2) *Any affine map $f : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ is smooth.*

For the proof of Proposition 5.1, we need several lemmas.
Define the affine maps $s^k : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ $(0 \leq k \leq p)$ by

$$s^k((i)) = \begin{cases} (i) & \text{for } i \leq k \\ (i-1) & \text{for } i \geq k+1. \end{cases}$$

First, we show that $s^k : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ is smooth for $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq p$. By Lemma 4.4, it is sufficient to show that $s^p : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ is smooth for $p \geq 0$. For the proof, we introduce the cone construction.

The *cone*, CA, of a diffeological space A is defined to be the quotient diffeological space $A \times I/A \times \{0\}$. The *open cone*, $\mathring{C}A$, of a diffeological space A is defined to be the diffeological subspace $CA - A \times \{1\}$ of CA.

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a diffeological space.*

(1) *CA contains the base point $*$ as a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation retract.*

(2) *$\mathring{C}A$ is an open diffeological subspace of CA that is isomorphic to the quotient diffeological space $A \times [0,1)/A \times \{0\}$.*

(3) *$CA - *$ is isomorphic to $A \times (0,1]$.*

Proof. (1) We construct a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation of CA onto the base point.

Define the map $H : A \times I \times I \longrightarrow A \times I$ by $H(a,t,s) = (a,ts)$. Let $\pi : A \times I \longrightarrow CA$ denote the canonical $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map, and define the map $h : CA \times I \longrightarrow CA$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times I \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & A \times I \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi \times 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ CA \times I & \xrightarrow{h} & CA. \end{array}$$

Since $\pi \times 1$ is final (Lemma 2.5), h is a smooth map, which is the desired deformation of CA onto the base point.

(2) Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times [0,1) & \hookrightarrow & A \times I \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \mathring{C}A & \hookrightarrow & CA, \end{array}$$

and use an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.2.

(3) Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times (0,1] & \hookrightarrow & A \times I \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ CA - * & \hookrightarrow & CA. \end{array}$$

By an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.2, the left vertical arrow is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map that is bijective, and hence, a diffeomorphism. □

We define the map

$$\varphi_p : \Delta^{p-1} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p$$

by $\varphi_p(x,t) = (1-t)(p) + td^p(x)$, which is an obvious extension of the map φ_p in Definition 1.2. Let Δ^p_{cone} be the set Δ^p endowed with the quotient diffeology by $\varphi_p : \Delta^{p-1} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p$. Then, the $\mathcal{D}$-deformation in the proof of Lemma 5.2(1) specializes to the $\mathcal{D}$-deformation

$$h : \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}} \times I \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}}$$

of Δ^p_{cone} onto the vertex (p); explicitly,

$$h(z,s) = (1-s)(p) + sz.$$

The smoothness of s^p is shown in the following lemma; note that $s^0 : \Delta^1 \longrightarrow \Delta^0$ is obviously smooth.

Lemma 5.3. *For all $p \geq 1$,*

$(1)_p$ *$id : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}}$ is smooth.*

$(2)_p$ *$h : \Delta^p \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathring{p}}$ is smooth.*

$(3)_p$ *$s^p : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ is smooth.*

Proof. We arrange the statements in the following order:

$$(1)_1,\ (2)_1,\ (3)_1, \ldots, (1)_p,\ (2)_p,\ (3)_p,\ (1)_{p+1}, \ldots.$$

Since $(1)_p$ obviously holds for $p = 1$, we inductively prove all statements in three steps.

Step 1. Suppose that the implications hold up to $(1)_p$. Let us consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times [0,1) & \xrightarrow{id \times 1} & \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}} \times [0,1) \\ {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ \Delta^p_{\hat{p}} & \xrightarrow{id} & \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}} - \Delta^{p-1}_{(p)}. \end{array}$$

The right vertical arrow h is a restriction of the smooth map $h : \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathrm{cone}}$, and the upper horizontal arrow $id \times 1$ is smooth by $(1)_p$. Since the lower horizontal arrow is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 5.2(2), $(2)_p$ holds.

Step 2. Suppose that the implications hold up to $(2)_p$. For $i \neq p,\ p+1$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times [0,1) & \xrightarrow{s^{p-1} \times 1} & \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_i} \\ \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{i}} & \xrightarrow{s^p} & \Delta^p_{\hat{i}}. \end{array}$$

Since $s^{p-1} \times 1$ is smooth by $(3)_{p-1}$ and the left vertical arrow φ_i is final (Lemma 4.3), s^p is smooth on $\Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{i}}$ for $i \neq p, p+1$.

For $i = p, p+1$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times [0,1) & & \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_i}\downarrow & \searrow^{h} & \\ \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{i}} & \xrightarrow{s^p} & \Delta^p_{\hat{p}}. \end{array}$$

Since φ_i is final (Lemma 4.3), s^p is smooth on $\Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{i}}$ by $(2)_p$.

Step 3. Suppose that the implications hold up to $(3)_p$. Let us show that $(1)_{p+1}$ holds. Since the map $id : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}}$ restricts to the diffeomorphism $id : \Delta^{p+1} - \Delta^p_{(p+1)} \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}} - \Delta^p_{(p+1)}$ (Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 5.2(2)), we need to only show that $id : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}}$ is smooth near the face $\Delta^p_{(p+1)}$. The Δ^p-component and $(0,1]$-component of the composite

$$\Delta^{p+1} - \{(p+1)\} \xrightarrow{id} \Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}} - \{(p+1)\} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi^{-1}_{p+1}} \Delta^p \times (0,1]$$

are denoted by λ and μ, respectively (see Lemma 5.2(3)); explicitly,

$$\lambda((1-t)(p+1) + td^{p+1}(x)) = x \text{ and } \mu((1-t)(p+1) + td^{p+1}(x)) = t.$$

By Lemma 3.1, μ is smooth. For the smoothness of λ, we show that λ is smooth on $\Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{i}}$ for $i \neq p+1$. For simplicity, suppose that $i = p$ (Lemma 4.4). Since $\lambda|_{\Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{p}}}$ sends $(1-t)(p+1) + td^{p+1}((1-s)(p) + sd^p(y)) \in \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{p}}$ to $(1-s)(p) + sd^p(y) \in \Delta^p$, we have the factorization



where U is the open diffeological subspace of $\Delta^p_{\hat{p}} \times (0,1]$ defined by

$$U = \{((1-s)(p) + sd^p(y), t) \in \Delta^p_{\hat{p}} \times (0,1] \mid s < t\}$$

and $\kappa : U \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ is the map defined by

$$\kappa((1-s)(p) + sd^p(y), t) = (1 - \frac{s}{t})(p) + \frac{s}{t}d^p(y).$$

We need only to show that κ is smooth.

Define the open diffeological subspace V of $[0,1) \times (0,1]$ by

$$V = \{(s,t) \in [0,1) \times (0,1] \mid s < t\},$$

and define the smooth map $\delta : V \longrightarrow [0,1)$ by $\delta(s,t) = \frac{s}{t}$. Now, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{p-1} \times V & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \times (0,1] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_p \times 1|_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_p \times 1} \\ U & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^p_{\hat{p}} \times (0,1]. \end{array}$$

We can show that this diagram is a pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ by an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.2. Since the right vertical arrow $\varphi_p \times 1$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map (Lemmas 4.3 and 2.5), the left vertical arrow $\varphi_p \times 1|_U$ is also a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map (Lemma 2.4(2)). Next, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{p-1} \times V & \xrightarrow{1 \times \delta} & \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_p \times 1|_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_p} \\ U & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \Delta^p. \end{array}$$

Since $\varphi_p \circ (1 \times \delta)$ is smooth and $\varphi_p \times 1|_U$ is final, κ is smooth. □

We use the smoothness of the affine surjections s^k to show the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $g : \Delta^{p-1} \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ be a smooth map. For i, j with $0 \leq i \leq p$ and $0 \leq j \leq q$, define the map $\hat{g_{ij}} : \Delta^p_{\hat{i}} \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ by $\hat{g_{ij}}((1-t)(i) + td^i(x)) = (1-t)(j) + tg(x)$. Then $\hat{g_{ij}}$ is smooth.*

Proof. We can assume that $i = 0$ and $j = 0$ (Lemma 4.4). Thus, we show that the map $\hat{g_{00}} : \Delta^p_{\hat{0}} \longrightarrow \Delta^q$ is smooth.

Define the map $g^{\Delta}_{00} : \Delta^p_{\hat{0}} \longrightarrow \Delta^{q+1}_{\hat{0}}$ by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{p-1}\times[0,1) & \xrightarrow{g\times 1} & \Delta^q\times[0,1) \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_0} \\ \Delta^p_{\hat{0}} & \xrightarrow{g^{\Delta}_{00}} & \Delta^{q+1}_{\hat{0}}. \end{array}$$

Since $\varphi_0\circ(g\times 1)$ is smooth and the left vertical arrow φ_0 is final (Lemma 4.3), g^{Δ}_{00} is smooth. We can easily see that the composite

$$\Delta^p_{\hat{0}} \xrightarrow{g^{\Delta}_{00}} \Delta^{q+1}_{\hat{0}} \xrightarrow{s^0} \Delta^q$$

is just the map $\widehat{g_{00}}$, and hence, that $\widehat{g_{00}}$ is smooth by Lemma 5.3. □

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We prove the result by induction on p. The result obviously holds for $p=0$. Suppose that the result holds up to $p-1$. We show that f is smooth on $\Delta^p_{\hat{i}}$ for any i. There are three cases to be checked.

Case 1. *The image $f((i))$ is in $\mathring{\Delta}^q$.*

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p_{\hat{i}} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathring{\Delta}^q \\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} \\ \Delta^p_{\hat{i}\ \mathrm{sub}} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathring{\Delta}^q_{\mathrm{sub}}, \end{array}$$

and note that the left vertical arrow is smooth (Lemma 3.1) and that the right vertical arrow is a diffeomorphism (Lemma 4.2). Since $f:\Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}\longrightarrow\Delta^q_{\mathrm{sub}}$ is obviously smooth, $f:\Delta^p_{\hat{i}}\longrightarrow\mathring{\Delta}^q$ is also smooth.

Case 2. *The image $f((i))$ is a vertex of Δ^q.*

The composite

$$\Delta^{p-1}\xhookrightarrow{d^i}\Delta^p\xrightarrow{f}\Delta^q$$

is smooth by the induction hypothesis. Set $(j)=f((i))$ and consider the smooth map

$$\widehat{(f\circ d^i)}_{ij}:\Delta^p_{\hat{i}}\longrightarrow\Delta^q$$

(Lemma 5.4). We can easily see that $\widehat{(f\circ d^i)}_{ij}$ is just $f|_{\Delta^p_{\hat{i}}}$.

Case 3. *The image $f((i))$ is in some open simplex $(i_0,\dots,i_k)$ with $0<k<q$.*

We show that the $\mathring{\Delta}^k$- and $\Delta^{q-k}_{\hat{0}}$-components of the composite $\Delta^p_{\hat{i}}\xrightarrow{f}U_I\xrightarrow[\cong]{\Phi_I}\mathring{\Delta}^k\times\Delta^{q-k}_{\hat{0}}$ are smooth, where $I=\{i_0,\dots,i_k\}$ (see Proposition 4.5).

Since the composite

$$\Delta^p_{\hat{i}\ \mathrm{sub}} \xrightarrow{f} U_I\ _{\mathrm{sub}} \xrightarrow{p_{\mathring{\Delta}^k}\circ\Phi_I} \mathring{\Delta}^k_{\mathrm{sub}}$$

is smooth (Remark4.7(2)), the composite

$$\Delta^p_{\hat{i}} \xrightarrow{f} U_I \xrightarrow{p_{\mathring{\Delta}^k}\circ\Phi_I} \mathring{\Delta}^k$$

is also smooth by the argument used in Case 1. The $\Delta^{q-k}_{\hat{0}}$-component of $\Phi_I \circ f$ is smooth by Remark 4.7(1) and Case 2. □

Remark 5.5. (1) Let $I = \{i_0, \ldots, i_k\}$ be a subset of the ordered set $\{0, \ldots, p\}$. Then, the inclusion $i : \Delta^I \hookrightarrow \Delta^p$ is smooth, and has a smooth left inverse $r : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^I$ (cf. the definition of Δ^I in Section 4.2 and Proposition 5.1). Thus, Δ^I is just the closed simplex $\langle i_0, \ldots, i_k\rangle$ of Δ^p endowed with the subdiffeology.
(2) By Proposition 5.1, the realization functor $|\ |_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and the singular functor $S^{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ are defined as explained in Section 1. It is easily seen that the pair

$$|\ |_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{S} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : S^{\mathcal{D}}$$

is an adjoint pair.

6 Key constructions for the verifications of Axioms 3 and 4

In this section, we construct important $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies, which are key to verifying Axioms 3 and 4.

Define the diffeological subspace $\Lambda^{p+1}_{\hat{k}}$ of Λ^{p+1}_k by $\Lambda^{p+1}_{\hat{k}} = \Lambda^{p+1}_k - \dot{\Delta}^p_{(k)}$.

Lemma 6.1. *$\Lambda^{p+1}_{\hat{k}}$ is a deformation retract of $\Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{k}}$ in $\mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that $k = 0$ (Lemma 4.4). We construct $\mathcal{D}$-deformations $R_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ onto $\Lambda^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ by induction on p.

For $p = 0$, we can define the $\mathcal{D}$-deformation $R_1 : \Delta^1_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^1_{\hat{0}}$ by $R_1((1-t)(0) + t(1), s) = (1 - (1-s)t)(0) + (1-s)t(1)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$-deformations $R_1, \ldots, R_p$ have been constructed. We construct a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation $R_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ onto $\Lambda^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ in three steps.

Step 1. Construction of $R_{q,r}$ $(q \geq 0, r \leq p)$.

Let $r \leq p$. For $q > 0$, define the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy $R_{q,r} : \mathring{\Delta}^q \times \Delta^r_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \mathring{\Delta}^q \times \Delta^r_{\hat{0}}$ by $R_{q,r}(x, y, s) = (x, R_r(y, \phi_q(x)s))$, where $\phi_q : \Delta^q \longrightarrow [0,1]$ is a smooth function such that $\phi_q \equiv 1$ near the barycenter b_q of Δ^q, and $\phi_q \equiv 0$ near $\dot{\Delta}^q$ (Lemma 3.1). Define the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy $R_{0,r} : \Delta^r_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^r_{\hat{0}}$ by $R_{0,r} = R_r$.

Step 2. Construction of R_{A^p}.

Set $A^p = \Delta^p - B^p$, where B^p is a closed p-dimensional disk contained in $\mathring{\Delta}^p$ whose center is the barycenter b_p of Δ^p. Then, we deform A^p onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$ as follows; see Definition 4.6 for a good neighborhood of an open simplex $(i_0, \ldots, i_k)$ of Δ^p.

- We deform A^p by applying $R_{p-1,1}$ to good neighborhoods of the open $(p-1)$-simplices of Δ^p; the resulting diffeological subspace is denoted by $\dot{\Delta}^p \cup D^{(1)}$, where $D^{(1)}$ is a neighborhood of the $(p-2)$-skeleton $\mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p$ which is $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopic to $\mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p$.
- We deform $\dot{\Delta}^p \cup D^{(1)}$ by applying $R_{p-2,2}$ to good neighborhoods of the open $(p-2)$-simplices of Δ^p; the resulting diffeological subspace is denoted by $\dot{\Delta}^p \cup D^{(2)}$, where $D^{(2)}$ is a neighborhood of the $(p-3)$-skeleton $\mathrm{sk}_{p-3}\ \Delta^p$ which is $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopic to $\mathrm{sk}_{p-3}\ \Delta^p$.
- We iterate the same procedure using $R_{p-k,k}$ $(k = 3, \ldots, p)$ to deform $\dot{\Delta}^p \cup D^{(2)}$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$.

(See Figure 6.1.)



Figure 6.1: $\mathcal{D}$-deformation of A^2 onto $\dot{\Delta}^2$.

The $\mathcal{D}$-deformation of A^p onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$ described above is denoted by R_{A^p}.

Step 3. Construction of R_{p+1}.

In the construction of R_{A^p}, we take a closed p-dimensional disk B^p in $\mathring{\Delta}^p$ such that B^p is contained in the interior $\phi_p^{-1}(1)^\circ$ of $\phi_p^{-1}(1)$. Define the $\mathcal{D}$-deformation $R_{A^p \times [0,1)} : A^p \times [0,1) \times I \longrightarrow A^p \times [0,1)$ of $A^p \times [0,1)$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^p \times [0,1)$ to be the composite

$$A^p \times [0,1) \times I \cong A^p \times I \times [0,1) \xrightarrow{R_{A^p} \times 1} A^p \times [0,1).$$

Let us construct the desired $\mathcal{D}$-deformation R_{p+1} using $R_{p,1}$ and $R_{A^p \times [0,1)}$.

First, under the canonical identification of $[0,1)$ and $\Delta^1_{\hat{0}}$, we define the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy

$$P'_{p+1} : \Delta^p \times [0,1) \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p \times [0,1)$$

to be the obvious extension of $R_{p,1} : \mathring{\Delta}^p \times [0,1) \times I \longrightarrow \mathring{\Delta}^p \times [0,1)$; explicitly,

$$P'_{p+1}(x,t,s) = \begin{cases} R_{p,1}(x,t,s) & \text{if } x \in \mathring{\Delta}^p \\ (x,t) & \text{if } x \in \dot{\Delta}^p. \end{cases}$$

Then, we define the map

$$P_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$$

by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times [0,1) \times I & \xrightarrow{P'_{p+1}} & \Delta^p \times [0,1) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_0 \times 1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_0} \\ \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I & \xrightarrow{P_{p+1}} & \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}. \end{array}$$

Since $\varphi_0 \times 1$ is final (Lemmas 4.3 and 2.5), P_{p+1} is a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy.

Second, we define the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies

$$Q'_{p+1} : \phi_p^{-1}(1)^\circ \times [0,1) \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p \times [0,1),$$
$$Q''_{p+1} : A^p \times [0,1) \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p \times [0,1)$$

by

$$Q'_{p+1}(x,t,s) = (x,0),$$
$$Q''_{p+1}(x,t,s) = R_{A^p \times [0,1)}(P'_{p+1}(x,t,1),s)$$

respectively. Consider the composites of Q'_{p+1} and Q''_{p+1} with $\varphi_0 : \Delta^p \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$, and observe that

$$\varphi_0 \circ Q'_{p+1} = \varphi_0 \circ Q''_{p+1} = \text{the constant map onto the vertex } (0)$$

on $\phi_p^{-1}(1)^\circ \times [0,1] \times I \cap A^p \times [0,1] \times I$. Then, we have the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy

$$\varphi_0 \circ Q'_{p+1} + \varphi_0 \circ Q''_{p+1} : \Delta^p \times [0,1) \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}.$$

Define the map $Q_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times [0,1) \times I & & \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_0 \times 1}\downarrow & \searrow^{\varphi_0 \circ Q'_{p+1} + \varphi_0 \circ Q''_{p+1}} & \\ \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I & \xrightarrow{Q_{p+1}} & \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}. \end{array}$$

Since $\varphi_0 \times 1$ is final (Lemmas 4.3 and 2.5), Q_{p+1} is a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy connecting $P_{p+1}(\cdot,1)$ to a retraction of $\Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ onto $\Lambda^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$.

Last, define the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy

$$R_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$$

to be the composite of the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies P_{p+1} and Q_{p+1} (see Remark 2.20). Then, R_{p+1} is the desired $\mathcal{D}$-deformation. □

From the proof of Lemma 6.1, we have the following result.

Proposition 6.2. *$\dot{\Delta}^p$ is a deformation retract of $\Delta^p - \{b_p\}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, where b_p is the barycenter of Δ^p.*

Proof. Let B^p be a small p-dimensional disk in Δ^p centered at b_p. Since $\Delta^p - \{b_p\}$ can be deformed into $\Delta^p - B^p$, $\Delta^p - \{b_p\}$ is deformed onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$ via the $\mathcal{D}$-deformation R_{A^p} constructed in the proof of Lemma 6.1. □

We end this section with a simple lemma on $\mathcal{D}$-retracts (i.e., retracts in the category $\mathcal{D}$) and $\mathcal{D}$-deformation retracts, which is used in the succeeding sections.

Lemma 6.3. *Let A be a diffeological subspace of B, and let i be the inclusion of A into B. Consider the pushout diagram in $\mathcal{D}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xhookrightarrow{i} & B \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ C & \xhookrightarrow[j]{} & B \underset{A}{\cup} C. \end{array}$$

(1) *If A is a $\mathcal{D}$-retract of B, then C is also a $\mathcal{D}$-retract of $B \underset{A}{\cup} C$.*
(2) *If A is a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation retract of B, then C is also a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation retract of $B \underset{A}{\cup} C$.*

Proof. (1) Obvious.
(2) Let $R : B \times I \longrightarrow B$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation of B onto A. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times I & \xhookrightarrow{i \times 1} & B \times I \\ {\scriptstyle f \times 1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g \times 1} \\ C \times I & \xhookrightarrow[j \times 1]{} & (B \underset{A}{\cup} C) \times I \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ (Proposition 2.1(2)), we have the smooth map

$$(B \underset{A}{\cup} C) \times I = B \times I \underset{A \times I}{\cup} C \times I \xrightarrow{g \circ R + j \circ proj} B \underset{A}{\cup} C,$$

which is the desired $\mathcal{D}$-deformation. □

7 Verification of Axiom 3

In this section, we verify Axiom 3.

Proposition 7.1 (Axiom 3). *Let K be a subcomplex of $\Delta[p]$. Then, the canonical smooth injection*

$$|K|_{\mathcal{D}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^p$$

is a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding.

Let us begin by showing the following three lemmas.

Lemma 7.2. *Let J be a small category and $Y_\bullet : J \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. Set $Y = \operatorname{colim}_{j\in J} Y_j$, and let B be a diffeological subspace of Y. Then, the equality $B = \operatorname{colim}_{j\in J} B_j$ holds in the category $\mathcal{D}$, where B_j is the inverse image of B by the canonical map $\phi_j : Y_j \longrightarrow Y$.*

Proof. We can easily see that the diffeological spaces B and $\operatorname{colim}_{j\in J} B_j$ coincide as sets (see the proof of Proposition 2.1(1)). Thus, consider the pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_j B_j & \hookrightarrow & \coprod_j Y_j \\ {\scriptstyle \sum \phi_j}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sum \phi_j} \\ B & \hookrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

(see Lemma 2.3). Since the right vertical arrow is final, Lemma 2.4(2) implies that the left vertical arrow is also final, and hence, $B = \operatorname{colim}_{j\in J} B_j$ holds in $\mathcal{D}$. □

Let Δ denote the category of finite ordinal numbers. Recall that $\mathcal{S} = Set^{\Delta^{op}}$ and that the Yoneda embedding $\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ assigns to $[p]$ the standard p-simplex $\Delta[p]$. For a subcomplex K of $\Delta[p]$, define the category $(\Delta \downarrow K)_{inj}$ to be the full subcategory of $\Delta \downarrow K$ consisting of objects $\sigma : \Delta[n] \longrightarrow K$ whose composite with $K \hookrightarrow \Delta[p]$ correspond to injective monotonic maps via the Yoneda embedding. Define the subcategory I_K of $\mathcal{D}$ to be the image of the functor $(\Delta \downarrow K)_{inj} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which assigns to $\sigma : \Delta[n] \longrightarrow K$ to Δ^n.

Lemma 7.3. *For a subcomplex K of $\Delta[p]$, the realization $|K|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{colim}_{I_K} \Delta^n$.*

Proof. Since $(\Delta \downarrow K)_{inj}$ is final in $\Delta \downarrow K$, $|K|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow K)_{inj}} \Delta^n$ ([23, p. 217]), and hence to $\operatorname{colim}_{I_K} \Delta^n$. □

The *cone* CL of a simplicial set L is defined by

$$CL = \operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow L} \Delta[m+1]$$

in the category $\mathcal{S}_*$ of pointed simplicial sets, where the base point of $\Delta[m+1]$ is the vertex (0) (see [12, p. 189] for the precise definition of the functor $\Delta \downarrow L \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ sending $\sigma : \Delta[m] \longrightarrow X$ to $\Delta[m+1]$). In order to work in the unpointed context, let us express CL as a colimit in the category $\mathcal{S}$. Define the *enlarged simplex category* $(\Delta \downarrow L)^+$ to be the full subcategory of the overcategory $\mathcal{S} \downarrow L$ consisting of objects $\sigma : \Delta[m] \longrightarrow L$ $(m \geq -1)$, where $\Delta[-1]$ denotes the initial object of $\mathcal{S}$. Then, the functor $\Delta \downarrow L \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ extends a functor $(\Delta \downarrow L)^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ in an obvious manner. For the composite of this functor with the forgetful functor $\mathcal{S}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$, we have the following result.

Lemma 7.4. *For a simplicial set L, the cone CL is naturally isomorphic to* $\operatorname*{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow L)^+} \Delta[m+1]$*, where the colimit is formed in the category $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Obvious. □

Proof of Proposition 7.1. In the proof, we use the following notation: for a subset A of a diffeological space X, A_X is the set A endowed with the sub-diffeology of X.

Let $|K|$ be the underlying set of $|K|_{\mathcal{D}}$, and regard it as a subset of Δ^p via the canonical injection. Then, we must show that the smooth map $id : |K|_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow |K|_{\Delta^p}$ is a diffeomorphism.

For $p \leq 1$, the result obviously holds. Suppose that the result holds up to $p-1$. Then, we show that the result holds for p in three steps.

Step 1. Let us show that for any subcomplex L of $\Delta[p-1]$, there exists an open diffeological subspace V of Δ^{p-1} that contains $|L|_{\Delta^{p-1}}$ as a $\mathcal{D}$-retract.

For $L = \Delta[p-1]$, $V = \Delta^{p-1}$ is the one we desire. Thus, we assume that $L \subsetneqq \Delta[p-1]$. $|L|$ has the set-theoretic decomposition

$$|L| = \coprod (i_0, \ldots, i_k),$$

where $(i_0, \ldots, i_k)$ ranges over all open simplices of Δ^{p-1} which is contained in $|L|$. Set $V = \cup\, U_{\{i_0,\ldots,i_k\}}(\epsilon)$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ (cf. Definition 4.6). This is an open neighborhood of $|L|$.

We smoothly retract V onto $|L|_{\Delta^{p-1}}$, as follows. First, we retract V by applying the $\mathcal{D}$-retraction of $\Delta^{p-1} - \{b_{p-1}\}$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^{p-1}$ (Proposition 6.2); the resulting diffeological subspace is denoted by V_1, which is contained in $\dot{\Delta}^{p-1} = \Delta^{p-1} - (0, \ldots, p-1)$.

Since the boundary $\dot{\Delta}[p-1]$ of $\Delta[p-1]$ is isomorphic to the pushout $\Lambda_i[p-1] \underset{\dot{\Delta}[p-2]}{\cup} \Delta[p-2]$ in the category $\mathcal{S}$ and $|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}$ has a right adjoint (Remark 5.5(2)), we have the canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$

$$|\dot{\Delta}[p-1]|_{\mathcal{D}} \cong |\Lambda_i[p-1]|_{\mathcal{D}} \underset{|\dot{\Delta}[p-2]|_{\mathcal{D}}}{\cup} |\Delta[p-2]|_{\mathcal{D}},$$

and hence, the canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$

$$\dot{\Delta}^{p-1} \cong \Lambda_i^{p-1} \underset{\dot{\Delta}^{p-2}}{\cup} \Delta^{p-2}$$

by the induction hypothesis. Further, we have the canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$

$$\dot{\Delta}^{p-1} - \{b_{p-2}\} \cong \Lambda_i^{p-1} \underset{\dot{\Delta}^{p-2}}{\cup} \Delta^{p-2} - \{b_{p-2}\}$$

by Lemma 7.2, where b_{p-2} is the barycenter of the i^{th} face of Δ^{p-1}. Thus, we apply the $\mathcal{D}$-retraction of $\Delta^{p-2} - \{b_{p-2}\}$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^{p-2}$ (Proposition 6.2) to each

$(p-2)$-simplex $\langle i_0,\ldots,i_{p-2}\rangle \not\subset |L|$ in order to retract V_1 (Lemma 6.3(1)); the resulting diffeological subspace is denoted by V_2, which is contained in

$$\Delta^{p-1} - \coprod_{\substack{(i_0,\ldots,i_k)\not\subset|L| \\ k\geq p-2}} (i_0,\ldots,i_k).$$

We iterate similar procedures to retract V_2 onto $|L|_{\Delta^{p-1}}$.

Step 2 . Set $|K|_{\hat{i}} = |K| \cap \Delta^p_{\hat{i}}$, and let $|K|_{\hat{i}\,\mathcal{D}}$ be the set $|K|_{\hat{i}}$ endowed with the sub-diffeology of $|K|_{\mathcal{D}}$. We need to only show that $id : |K|_{\hat{i}\,\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow |K|_{\hat{i}\ \Delta^p}$ is a diffeomorphism for any i.

For $i \neq 0$, let γ denote the permutation of $\{(0),\ldots,(p)\}$, switching (0) and (i). The affine bijection of Δ^p induced by γ is also denoted by γ. Then, there exists a unique subcomplex L of $\Delta[p]$ such that

$$\gamma|_{|K|} : |K|_{\Delta^p} \longrightarrow |L|_{\Delta^p}$$

is a diffeomorphism (Lemma 4.4). We can see that

$$\gamma|_{|K|} : |K|_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow |L|_{\mathcal{D}}$$

is also a diffeomorphism using Lemmas 7.3 and 4.4. (Note that K need not be isomorphic to L.) Thus, we may assume that $i = 0$. Define the subcomplex K' of K to be the subcomplex generated by

$$\{\sigma \,|\, \sigma \text{ is a simplex of } K \text{ which contains } (0) \text{ as its vertex}\},$$

and define the subcomplex K'' of K to be the intersection of K and the zeroth face of $\Delta[p]$. Set $\overline{K} = K' \cap K''$. Since K is isomorphic to the pushout $K' \underset{\overline{K}}{\cup} K''$ in the category $\mathcal{S}$, we have the isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$

$$|K|_{\mathcal{D}} \cong |K'|_{\mathcal{D}} \underset{|\overline{K}|_{\mathcal{D}}}{\cup} |K''|_{\mathcal{D}}$$

(Remark 5.5(2)), and hence, the equality

$$|K|_{\hat{0}\ \mathcal{D}} = |K'|_{\hat{0}\ \mathcal{D}}$$

(Lemma 7.2). Since $|K|_{\hat{0}\ \mathcal{D}} = |K|_{\hat{0}\ \Delta^p}$ obviously holds in the case of $\overline{K} = \phi$, we assume that $\overline{K} \neq \phi$. Since K' is isomorphic to the cone $C\overline{K}$ in a canonical way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K|_{\hat{0}\,\mathcal{D}} &= |K'|_{\hat{0}\,\mathcal{D}} \\ &= \operatorname*{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow\overline{K})^+} \Delta^{m+1}_{\hat{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 7.2 and 7.4, and Remark 5.5(2).

Step 3. Let us look closely at the diffeology of $|K|_{\hat{0}\,\Delta^p}$ to show that $|K|_{\hat{0}\ \mathcal{D}} = |K|_{\hat{0}\ \Delta^p}$. Consider the pullback diagram in $\mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (|\overline{K}| \times [0,1) \cup \Delta^{p-1} \times (0))_{\Delta^{p-1}\times[0,1)} & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_0} \\ |K|_{\hat{0}\,\Delta^p} & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^p_{\hat{0}} \end{array}$$

(see Lemma 2.3). Since $\varphi_0 : \Delta^{p-1} \times [0,1) \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\hat{0}}$ is final (Lemma 4.3),

$$\varphi_0 : (|\overline{K}| \times [0,1) \cup \Delta^{p-1} \times (0))_{\Delta^{p-1}\times[0,1)} \longrightarrow |K|_{\hat{0}\,\Delta^p}$$

is also final (Lemma 2.4(2)). Choose an open diffeological subspace V of Δ^{p-1} that contains $|\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}}$ as a $\mathcal{D}$-retract (Step 1). By the definition of a final structure (see [3, Section 2]),

$$\varphi_0 : (|\overline{K}| \times [0,1) \cup V \times (0))_{\Delta^{p-1}\times[0,1)} \longrightarrow |K|_{\hat{0}\,\Delta^p}$$

is also final. Since $|\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-retract of V, there is a retract diagram in $\mathcal{D}$ of the form

$$|\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times [0,1) \xrightarrow{\iota \times 1} V \times [0,1) \xrightarrow{\rho \times 1} |\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times [0,1).$$

This diagram restricts to the retract diagram in $\mathcal{D}$

$$|\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times [0,1) \xrightarrow{\iota \times 1} (|\overline{K}| \times [0,1) \cup V \times (0))_{\Delta^{p-1}\times[0,1)} \xrightarrow{\rho \times 1} |\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times [0,1),$$

which shows that

$$\varphi_0 : |\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times [0,1) \longrightarrow |K|_{\hat{0}\,\Delta^p}$$

is final. Since

$$|\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \cong \operatorname*{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow\overline{K})^+} \Delta^m$$

by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K|_{\hat{0}\ \Delta^p} &\cong |\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times [0,1)/|\overline{K}|_{\Delta^{p-1}} \times (0) \\ &\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow\overline{K})^+} (\Delta^m \times [0,1))/ \operatorname*{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow\overline{K})^+} (\Delta^m \times (0)) \\ &\cong \operatorname*{colim}_{(\Delta\downarrow\overline{K})^+} \Delta^{m+1}_{\hat{0}} \end{aligned}$$

(see Proposition 2.1(2) and Lemma 4.3), which completes the proof. □

8 Verification of Axiom 4

In this section, we verify Axiom 4.

Proposition 8.1 (Axiom 4). *Λ^{p+1}_k is a deformation retract of Δ^{p+1} in $\mathcal{D}$.*

Let us begin by showing a lemma on the diffeology of Δ^{p+1}. In this section, let $\Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}}$ denote the set Δ^{p+1} endowed with the quotient diffeology by the surjection

$$\varphi_0 : \Delta^p \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}$$

defined by $\varphi_0(x,t) = (1-t)(0) + td^0(x)$, which is the obvious extension of the map φ_0 in Definition 1.2. For a subset A of Δ^{p+1}, A_{cone} is the set A endowed with the sub-diffeology of $\Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}}$. Recall that $id : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}}$ is smooth (Lemma 5.3).

Lemma 8.2. *The equality $\Delta^{p+1} - \dot{\Delta}^p_{(0)} = (\Delta^{p+1} - \dot{\Delta}^p_{(0)})_{\mathrm{cone}}$ holds.*

Proof. The map

$$id : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}\ \mathrm{cone}}$$

is a diffeomorphism by Lemmas 4.3 and 5.2(2). The map

$$id : U_{\{1,\dots,p+1\}} \longrightarrow U_{\{1,\dots,p+1\}\ \mathrm{cone}}$$

is also a diffeomorphism by Proposition 4.5, and Lemma 5.2(3). Thus, the result holds. □

Proof of Proposition 8.1. For simplicity, we assume that $k = 0$ (Lemma 4.4).

Let $P_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ and $Q_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ be $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies constructed in the proof of Lemma 6.1. Choose sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and a monotonically increasing smooth function $\mu : [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$ such that $\mu \equiv 0$ on $[0,\epsilon]$ and $\mu \equiv 1$ on $[2\epsilon, 1]$. Define the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy $\mathcal{Q}_{p+1} : \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}} \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}_{\hat{0}}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p+1}((1-t)(0) + td^0(x), s) = Q_{p+1}((1-t)(0) + td^0(x), \mu(1-t)s),$$

and let $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}$ be the composite of the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies P_{p+1} and $\mathcal{Q}_{p+1}$ (see Remark 2.20). From the construction $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}$ extends uniquely to a smooth map from $\Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}} \times I$ to $\Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{cone}}$, which is the constant homotopy of the identify near $\dot{\Delta}^p_{(0)}$. Thus, this extension of $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}$ can be regarded as a smooth map from $\Delta^{p+1} \times I$ to Δ^{p+1} (Lemma 8.2), which is also denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}$.

Using the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopies $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}$, $R_{q,r}$, and R_{A^p} (see the proof of Lemma 6.1), we deform Δ^{p+1} onto Λ^{p+1}_0 as follows.

- We deform Δ^{p+1} by applying $\mathcal{R}_{p+1}$; the resulting diffeological subspace is denoted by $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup E^{(1)}$, where $E^{(1)}$ is a neighborhood of $\dot{\Delta}^p_{(0)}$ that is $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopic to $\dot{\Delta}^p_{(0)}$. We may assume that $A'^p := E^{(1)} \cap \Delta^p_{(0)}$ is a

diffeological subspace of the form $\Delta^p_{(0)} - B'^p$, where B'^p is an open p-dimensional disk such that its closure is contained in $\mathring{\Delta}^p_{(0)}$ and its center is the barycenter of $\Delta^p_{(0)}$.

- We deform $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup E^{(1)}$ by applying $R_{p-1,2}$ to good neighborhoods in Δ^{p+1} of the open $(p-1)$-simplices of $\Delta^p_{(0)}$; the resulting diffeological subspace is denoted by $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup E^{(2)} \cup A'^p$, where $E^{(2)}$ is a neighborhood in Δ^{p+1} of the $(p-2)$-skeleton $\mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p_{(0)}$ which is $\mathcal{C}^0$-homotopic to $\mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p_{(0)}$.
- We iterate the same procedure using $R_{p-k,k+1}$ $(k = 2, \ldots,\ p)$ to deform $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup E^{(2)} \cup A'^p$ onto $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup A'^p$.
- Since the diffeological subspace $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup A'^p$ is just the pushout of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{\Delta}^p & \hookrightarrow & A'^p \\ \downarrow & & \\ \Lambda^{p+1}_0 & & \end{array}$$

by Proposition 7.1, Remark 5.5(2), and Lemma 7.2 (cf. the argument in Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 7.1), we deform $\Lambda^{p+1}_0 \cup A'^p$ onto Λ^{p+1}_0 using the deformation R_{A^p} constructed in the proof of Lemma 6.1 (see Lemma 6.3(2)).

□

Corollary 8.3 *The horn Λ^p_k, and hence, the standard p-simplex Δ^p is contractible in $\mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. The standard horn Λ^p_k is deformed onto the vertex (k) by iterated application of the deformation of a simplex onto a horn (see Propositions 7.1 and 8.1, Remark 5.5(2), and Lemma 6.3(2)). □

9 Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

In this section, we establish the basic properties of the singular functor $S^{\mathcal{D}}$ and the realization functor $|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}$, and prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

9.1 Singular and realization functors

Recall the definitions of the singular functor $S^{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and the realization functor $|\ |_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ (cf. Section 1 and Remark 5.5(2)). Part 1 of the following proposition has already been stated in Remark 5.5(2).

Proposition 9.1. (1) *The realization functor* $|\ |_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ *is a left adjoint to the singular functor* $S^{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

(2) *For a diffeological space* X, $S^{\mathcal{D}}X$ *is a Kan complex.*

(3) *If* $f \simeq g : X \longrightarrow Y$ *in* $\mathcal{D}$, *then* $S^{\mathcal{D}}f \simeq S^{\mathcal{D}}g : S^{\mathcal{D}}X \longrightarrow S^{\mathcal{D}}Y$ *in* $\mathcal{S}$. *In particular, if* $X \simeq Y$ *in* $\mathcal{D}$, *then* $S^{\mathcal{D}}X \simeq S^{\mathcal{D}}Y$ *in* $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. (1) We can easily see that the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{S}(K, S^{\mathcal{D}}X) \cong \mathcal{D}(|K|_{\mathcal{D}}, X)$ holds for $K \in \mathcal{S}$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

(2) We show that, for any solid arrow diagram in $\mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_k[p] & \longrightarrow & S^{\mathcal{D}}X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Delta[p], & & \end{array}$$

there exists a dotted arrow that makes the diagram commute. By Part 1, this extension problem is equivalent to the extension problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\Lambda_k[p]|_{\mathcal{D}} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ |\Delta[p]|_{\mathcal{D}} & & \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{D}$, which has a solution by Axioms 3 and 4 (Propositions 7.1 and 8.1).

(3) Let $H : X \times I \longrightarrow Y$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy connecting f to g. Then, the composite

$$S^{\mathcal{D}}X \times \Delta[1] \xrightarrow{1\times\iota} S^{\mathcal{D}}X \times S^{\mathcal{D}}I \cong S^{\mathcal{D}}(X \times I) \xrightarrow{S^{\mathcal{D}}H} S^{\mathcal{D}}Y$$

is a simplicial homotopy connecting $S^{\mathcal{D}}f$ to $S^{\mathcal{D}}g$, where $\iota : \Delta[1] \longrightarrow S^{\mathcal{D}}I$ is a simplicial map corresponding to the canonical diffeomorphism $\Delta^1 \longrightarrow I$. □

Let $S : \mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the topological singular functor, and $|\ | : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ be the topological realization functor defined by $|K| = \operatorname{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta^n_{\mathrm{top}}$ in $\mathcal{C}^0$; see Section 1 for the simplex category $\Delta \downarrow K$. It is easily seen that $(|\ |, S)$ is an adjoint pair (cf. [12, p. 7]). Note that $\operatorname{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta^n_{\mathrm{top}}$ in $\mathcal{C}^0$ coincides with $\operatorname{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta^n_{\mathrm{top}}$ in $\mathcal{T}$; in other words, $|K|$ coincides with the ordinary topological realization of K (see Lemma 2.7).

Consider the diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & |\ | & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{S} & \underset{S^{\mathcal{D}}}{\overset{|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathcal{D} & \underset{R}{\overset{\sim}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathcal{C}^0 \\ & \nwarrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & S & & \end{array}$$

which consists of the three adjoint pairs $(|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}, S^{\mathcal{D}})$, $(\tilde{\cdot}, R)$, and $(|\ |, S)$.

Lemma 9.2. *$\widetilde{|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}} = |\ |$ and $S^{\mathcal{D}} \circ R = S$.*

Proof. For $X \in \mathcal{C}^0$,

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta^p, RX) \cong \mathcal{C}^0(\Delta^p_{\mathrm{top}}, X)$$

(Propositions 2.13 and 3.2), and therefore,

$$S^{\mathcal{D}} \circ R = S.$$

Since $\widetilde{|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}}$ and $|\ |$ are left adjoints to $S^{\mathcal{D}} \circ R$ and S, respectively, the equality $S^{\mathcal{D}} \circ R = S$ implies the equality $\widetilde{|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}} = |\ |$. □

9.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.3. We need several lemmas for this.

Define the sets $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ of morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \{\dot{\Delta}^p \hookrightarrow \Delta^p \mid p \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{J} &= \{\Lambda^p_k \hookrightarrow \Delta^p \mid p > 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq p\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9.3. *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{D}$.*

(1) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

  (i) *$f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is a fibration;*

  (ii) *$S^{\mathcal{D}} f : S^{\mathcal{D}} X \longrightarrow S^{\mathcal{D}} Y$ is a fibration;*

  (iii) *f has the right lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{J}$.*

(2) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

  (i) *$f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is both a fibration and a weak equivalence;*

  (ii) *$S^{\mathcal{D}} f : S^{\mathcal{D}} X \longrightarrow S^{\mathcal{D}} Y$ is both a fibration and a weak equivalence;*

  (iii) *f has the right lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. (1) The equivalence of (i) and (iii) is obvious from the definition of fibration. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from the adjointness of $(|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}, S^{\mathcal{D}})$ and Axiom 3 (Proposition 7.1); see the proof of Proposition 9.1(2).

(2) (i)⇔(ii) The equivalence is obvious from part 1 and the definition of weak equivalence.

(ii)⇔(iii) Recall that a simplicial map $\varphi : K \longrightarrow L$ is both a fibration and a weak equivalence if and only if $\varphi : K \longrightarrow L$ has the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions $\dot{\Delta}[n] \hookrightarrow \Delta[n]$ ([20, Lemma 2.4]). Then, the equivalence follows from the adjointness of $(|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}, S^{\mathcal{D}})$ and Axiom 3 (Proposition 7.1). □

Lemma 9.4. *Let* $X \underset{\coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\Lambda^{p_\lambda}_{k_\lambda}}{\cup} \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\Delta^{p_\lambda}$ *denote the pushout of the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\Lambda^{p_\lambda}_{k_\lambda} & \longhookrightarrow & \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\Delta^{p_\lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{D}$*. Then* X *is a deformation retract of* $X \underset{\coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\Lambda^{p_\lambda}_{k_\lambda}}{\cup} \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\Delta^{p_\lambda}$ *in* $\mathcal{D}$*.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 8.1 and Lemma 6.3(2). □

For a subset A of Δ^p, A_{top} is the set A endowed with the induced topology of Δ^p_{top}.

Lemma 9.5. *The underlying topological spaces of* Λ^p_k *and* $\dot{\Delta}^p$ *are* $\Lambda^p_{k\ \mathrm{top}}$ *and* $\dot{\Delta}^p_{\mathrm{top}}$ *respectively.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Axiom 3 (Proposition 7.1) and Lemma 9.2. □

Lemma 9.6. *Let*

$$X_0 \overset{i_1}{\longhookrightarrow} X_1 \overset{i_2}{\longhookrightarrow} X_2 \overset{i_3}{\longhookrightarrow} \cdots$$

be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}$ *satisfying one of the following conditions:*

(i) *Each* i_n *fits into a pushout diagram of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda_n}\dot{\Delta}^{p_\lambda} & \longhookrightarrow & \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda_n}\Delta^{p_\lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{n-1} & \overset{i_n}{\longhookrightarrow} & X_n. \end{array}$$

(ii) *Each* i_n *fits into a pushout diagram of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda_n}\Lambda^{p_\lambda}_{k_\lambda} & \longhookrightarrow & \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda_n}\Delta^{p_\lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{n-1} & \overset{i_n}{\longhookrightarrow} & X_n. \end{array}$$

Set $X = \varinjlim X_n$ *and let* A *be a diffeological space with* $\widetilde{A}$ *compact. Then, any smooth map* $f : A \longrightarrow X$ *factors through* X_n *for some* n*.*

Proof. Note that $\Lambda^p_k \longhookrightarrow \Delta^p$ is the composite of $\Lambda^p_k \longhookrightarrow \dot{\Delta}^p$ and $\dot{\Delta}^p \longhookrightarrow \Delta^p$, and that $\Lambda^p_k \longhookrightarrow \dot{\Delta}^p$ is the pushout of $\dot{\Delta}^{p-1} \longhookrightarrow \Delta^{p-1}$ (see the argument of Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 7.1). Then, we may assume that the sequence $\{X_n\}$ satisfies condition (i).

Define the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$ of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}^0$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}} = \{\dot{\Delta}^p_{\mathrm{top}} \longhookrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathrm{top}} \mid p \geq 0\}.$$

Since the canonical map $X_0 \longhookrightarrow X$ is a sequential relative $\mathcal{I}$-cell complex (see [24, Definitions 15.1.1 and 15.1.2]), the map $\widetilde{X_0} \longhookrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ is a sequential relative $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-cell complex by Propositions 2.13 and 3.2, and Lemma 9.5. Therefore, we can easily see that $f : A \longrightarrow X$ factors through some X_n in Set (cf. [9, Lemma 8.7]), and hence in $\mathcal{D}$ (Proposition 2.1(1) and [15, Proposition 10.2.7]). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us verify the following model axioms:

M1 $\mathcal{D}$ is closed under all small limits and colimits.

M2 If f and g are maps in $\mathcal{D}$ such that gf is defined and if two of the three maps f, g, gf are weak equivalences, then the third also is.

M3 If f is a retract of g and g is a weak equivalence, fibration, or cofibration, then f also is.

M4 Given a commutative solid arrow diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

the dotted arrow exists, making the diagram commute, if either

(i) i is a cofibration and p is a trivial fibration (i.e., a fibration that is also a weak equivalence), or

(ii) i is a trivial cofibration (i.e., a cofibration that is also a weak equivalence) and p is a fibration.

M5 Every map f has two functorial factorizations:

(i) $f = pi$, where i is a cofibration and p is a trivial fibration, and

(ii) $f = qj$, where j is a trivial cofibration and q is a fibration.

M1 is satisfied by Proposition 2.1(1). M2 is obvious. M3 is not difficult (cf. [9, 2.7 and 8.10]).

M5 (ii) Applying the infinite gluing construction (IGC) for $\mathcal{J} = \{\Lambda^p_k \longhookrightarrow \Delta^p \ (p > 0, 0 \leq k \leq p)\}$ to $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, we obtain the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j_\infty} & G^\infty(\mathcal{J}, f) \\ & \searrow^{f} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_\infty} \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

(see [9, pp. 104-105]). By Lemmas 9.5 and 9.6, q_∞ is a fibration. Since j_∞ is obviously a cofibration by construction, we need to only see that j_∞ is a weak equivalence.

By Lemma 9.4, the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow G^n(\mathcal{J}, f)$ is a deformation retract, and hence, a weak equivalence (Proposition 9.1(3)). Thus, $j_\infty : X \hookrightarrow G^\infty(\mathcal{J}, f)$ is a weak equivalence by Lemma 9.6.
(i) Applying the infinite gluing construction (IGC) for $\mathcal{I} = \{\dot{\Delta}^p \hookrightarrow \Delta^p \ (p \geq 0)\}$ to $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, we obtain the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_\infty} & G^\infty(\mathcal{I}, f) \\ & \searrow^{f} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_\infty} \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

(see [9, pp. 104-105]). By Lemmas 9.5 and 9.6, p_∞ is a trivial fibration (see Lemma 9.3(2)). By construction, i_∞ is obviously a cofibration.

M4 can also be shown by an argument similar to that in the case of the category of topological spaces ([9, p. 110]).

By Lemma 9.3, $\mathcal{D}$ is a cofibrantly generated model category ([24, Definition 15.2.1]); the sets $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are the generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations. By Lemmas 9.5 and 9.6, the model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ is compactly generated. By Proposition 9.1(2) and Lemma 9.3(1), every diffeological space is fibrant. □

Remark 9.7. We can also see that $\mathcal{D}$ is a cellular model category ([15, Definition 12.1.1]); conditions (1) and (2) in the definition are easily checked using Propositions 2.13 and 3.2, and Lemma 9.5 (cf. the proof of Lemma 9.6), and condition (3) in the definition is checked using [15, Proposition 10.9.6 and Example 10.9.3] and Proposition 2.1(1).

The following remark relates to the studies of Christensen-Wu [4] and Haraguchi-Shimakawa [13] (cf. Section 1).

Remark 9.8. By Theorem 1.3 and [4, Example 4.43], the class of fibrations in [4, Definition 4.8] does not coincide with ours. Though the class of fibrations in [13, Definition 3.10] is different from ours at first glance, we do not know whether they are actually different.

9.3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.4, using results of [4], and then characterize weak equivalences of diffeological spaces in terms of smooth homotopy groups.

For the proof of Theorem 1.4, we need a few lemmas.

Lemma 9.9. *For a fixed real number $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{p+1})$, $\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p$ is the diffeological subspace of Δ^p defined by*

$$\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p = \{(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p \mid x_i \leq \epsilon \text{ for some } i\}.$$

Then, there exists a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy $T : \Delta^p \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ such that $T(\cdot, 0) = 1_{\Delta^p}$ and T restricts to a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation of $\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$.

Proof. There exists a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation $D : (\Delta^p - \{b_p\}) \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p - \{b_p\}$ of $\Delta^p - \{b_p\}$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$ (Proposition 6.2); from the construction, we can choose a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation D such that D restricts to a $\mathcal{D}$-deformation of $\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p$ onto $\dot{\Delta}^p$. Choose a smooth function $\rho : \Delta^p \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\rho \equiv 0$ near b_p and $\rho \equiv 1$ near $\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p$ (see Lemma 3.1). Then, define the map $T : \Delta^p \times I \longrightarrow \Delta^p$ by

$$T(x, t) = \begin{cases} D(x, \rho(x)t) & \text{for } \ x \neq b_p \\ b_p & \text{for } \ x = b_p. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that T is the desired $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy. □

A *diffeological pair* (X, A) consists of a diffeological space X and a diffeological subspace A of X. We can define the *$\mathcal{D}$-homotopy set* $[(X, A), (Y, B)]_{\mathcal{D}}$ between diffeological pairs (X, A) and (Y, B) using the unit interval I similar to the case of topological pairs (cf. Section 2.4), which is the same as for the case defined using the line $\mathbb{R}$ ([4, p. 1277]); see also Remark 2.20.

Lemma 9.10. *Let (X, x) be a pointed diffeological space. For a fixed real number $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{p+1})$, there exists a natural bijection*

$$\pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(X, x) \cong [(\Delta^p, \partial_\epsilon \Delta^p), (X, x)]_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Proof. Let $\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p_{\text{sub}}$ denote the subset $\partial_\epsilon \Delta^p$ endowed with the sub-diffeology of Δ^p_{sub}. By [4, Theorem 3.2], $\pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(X, x)$ is naturally isomorphic to $[(\Delta^p_{\text{sub}}, \partial_\epsilon \Delta^p_{\text{sub}}), (X, x)]_{\mathcal{D}}$, which is obviously isomorphic to $[(\Delta^p, \partial_\epsilon \Delta^p), (X, x)]_{\mathcal{D}}$ (see Lemmas 3.1 and 4.2). □

Lemma 9.11. *Let $f : \Delta^r \longrightarrow \Delta^p \times I$ be an affine map (i.e., a map preserving convex combinations). Then, f is smooth.*

Proof. The Δ^p- and I-components of f are smooth by Axiom 2 (Proposition 5.1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 9.10, we identify $\pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(X,x)$ with $[(\Delta^p, \partial_\epsilon \Delta^p), (X,x)]_{\mathcal{D}}$ for a fixed $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{p+1})$. By Lemma 9.11, we have the natural map

$$\Theta_X : \pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(X,x) \longrightarrow \pi_p(S^{\mathcal{D}}X, x).$$

Θ_X is surjective by Lemma 9.9

Let us see that Θ_X is injective. Suppose that $\Theta_X([f]) = \Theta_X([g])$. Then, there is a smooth function $F : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow X$ such that

$$F \circ d^i = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } i = p, \\ g & \text{if } i = p+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq p, p+1, \end{cases}$$

where 0 denotes the constant map to the base point. (See Section 1.2 for the definition of $d^i : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^{p+1}$.) By applying Lemma 9.9 to Δ^{p+1}, we can assume that $F = 0$ near $\mathrm{sk}_{p-1} \Delta^{p+1}$. Thus, F defines a smooth map $\Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{sub}}$ to X (Lemma 4.2). Hence, we obtain the $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy relative to $\partial_{\epsilon'}\Delta^p$

$$\Delta^p \times I \xrightarrow{id \times 1} \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}} \times I \xrightarrow{\beta} \Delta^{p+1}_{\mathrm{sub}} \xrightarrow{F} X$$

connecting f to g, where ϵ' is a sufficiently small positive number and β is a smooth map defined by

$$\beta(x_0, \ldots, \ x_p, \ t) = (x_0, \ldots, \ x_{p-1}, \ tx_p, \ (1-t)x_p).$$

Last, let us show that Θ_X is a group homomorphism for $p > 0$. Define the map

$$\gamma : \Delta^{p+1} \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{(p-1)} \underset{\langle 0, \ldots, p-2, p \rangle}{\cup} \Delta^p_{(p+1)}$$

by

$$\gamma(x_0, \ldots, x_{p+1}) = \begin{cases} (x_0, \ldots, x_{p-2}, 0, x_p + 2x_{p-1}, x_{p+1} - x_{p-1}) & \text{if } x_{p+1} \geq x_{p-1} \\ (x_0, \ldots, x_{p-2}, x_{p-1} - x_{p+1}, x_p + 2x_{p+1}, 0) & \text{if } x_{p+1} \leq x_{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

For smooth maps $f, g : (\Delta^p, \partial_\epsilon \Delta^p) \longrightarrow (X, x)$, the composite

$$\Delta^{p+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Delta^p_{(p-1)} \underset{\langle 0, \ldots, p-2, p \rangle}{\cup} \Delta^p_{(p+1)} \xrightarrow{f+g} X$$

is smooth, though γ is not smooth. Thus, the product $\Theta_X([f]) \cdot \Theta_X([g])$ is defined to be the composite

$$\Delta^p \xrightarrow{d^p} \Delta^{p+1} \xrightarrow{(f+g)\circ\gamma} X.$$

This coincides with $\Theta_X([f] \cdot [g])$ (see the argument in the proof of [4, Theorem 4.11]). □

Corollary 9.12 *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a smooth map between diffeological spaces. Then, f is a weak equivalence if and only if*

$$\pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(f) : \pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(X, x) \longrightarrow \pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(Y, f(x))$$

is bijective for any $p \geq 0$ and any $x \in X$.

Proof. The result follows immediately from the definition of a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{D}$ and Theorem 1.4. □

Remark 9.13. By Lemma 9.9, the inclusion $(\Delta^p, \dot{\Delta}^p) \hookrightarrow (\Delta^p, \partial_\epsilon \Delta^p)$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-homotopy equivalence of diffeological pairs. Thus, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_p^{\mathcal{D}}(X, x) \cong [(\Delta^p, \dot{\Delta}^p), (X, x)]_{\mathcal{D}}$$

by Lemma 9.10.

10 Model structure on the category of Chen spaces

In this section, we recall the definition of a Chen space, summarize the basic properties of the category $\mathcal{C}h$ of Chen spaces, and then outline the construction of a model structure on $\mathcal{C}h$.

In this section, a *convex set* means a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with nonempty interior for some n, and a *parametrization* of a set X means a (set-theoretic) map $p : C \longrightarrow X$, where C is a convex set. See [1, p. 5793] for the precise definition of a smooth map between convex sets.

Definition 10.1. (1) A *Chen space* is a set X together with a specified set Ch_X of parametrizations of X satisfying the following conditions:

(i) (Covering) Every constant parametrization $p : C \longrightarrow X$ is in Ch_X.

(ii) (Locality) Let $p : C \longrightarrow X$ be a parametrization such that there exists an open cover $\{C_i\}$ of C consisting of convex sets and satisfying $p|_{C_i} \in Ch_X$. Then p is in Ch_X

(iii) (Smooth compatibility) Let $p : C \longrightarrow X$ be in Ch_X. Then, for every convex set C' and every smooth map $F : C' \longrightarrow C$, $p \circ F$ is in Ch_X.

Elements of Ch_X are called *plots*.

(2) Let $X = (X, Ch_X)$ and $Y = (Y, Ch_Y)$ be Chen spaces, and let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a (set-theoretic) map. We say that f is *smooth* if for any $p \in Ch_X$, $f \circ p \in Ch_Y$. Then, Chen spaces and smooth maps form the category $\mathcal{C}h$.

For a Chen space $A = (A, Ch_A)$, the *underlying topological space* $\widetilde{A}$ is defined to be the set A endowed with the final topology for Ch_A. Then, the category $\mathcal{C}h$ shares the convenient properties (1)-(3) in Section 1.1. More precisely, we have the following result.

Lemma 10.2. (1) *The category $\mathcal{C}h$ has initial and final structures with respect to the underlying set functor. In particular, $\mathcal{C}h$ is complete and cocomplete. Further, the class of $\mathcal{C}h$-embeddings (i.e., injective initial morphisms) is closed under pushouts and transfinite composites.*
(2) *The category $\mathcal{C}h$ is cartesian closed.*
(3) *The underlying set functor $\mathcal{C}h \longrightarrow Set$ is factored as the underlying topological space functor $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{C}h \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ followed by the underlying set functor $\mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow Set$. Further, the functor $\widetilde{\cdot} : \mathcal{C}h \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0$ has a right adjoint $R : \mathcal{C}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}h$.*

Proof. The result is shown by arguments similar to those in the case of the category $\mathcal{D}$ (see Propositions 2.1 and 2.13). □

Using Lemma 10.2, we can define the standard p-simplices Δ^p in $\mathcal{C}h$, and verify Axioms 1-4 for Δ^p (see Section 1.2) in the same manner as in the case of $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, we define the singular functor $S^{\mathcal{C}h} : \mathcal{C}h \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ in an obvious manner, and then introduce a model structure on the category $\mathcal{C}h$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 10.3. *Define a map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}h$ to be*

(1) *a weak equivalence if $S^{\mathcal{C}h} f : S^{\mathcal{C}h} X \longrightarrow S^{\mathcal{C}h} Y$ is a weak equivalence in the category of simplicial sets,*

(2) *a fibration if the map f has the right lifting property with respect to the inclusions $\Lambda^p_k \hookrightarrow \Delta^p$ for all $p > 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq p$, and*

(3) *a cofibration if the map f has the left lifting property with respect to all maps that are both fibrations and weak equivalences.*

With these choices, $\mathcal{C}h$ is a compactly generated model category whose object is always fibrant.

Proof. Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 is constructed using only properties (1)-(3) of the category $\mathcal{D}$ and Axioms 1-4 for the standard simplices, it applies to the case of the category $\mathcal{C}h$ as well. □

Similar to the category of diffeological spaces (Remark 9.7), the category $\mathcal{C}h$ is a cellular model category. If we define the smooth homotopy groups $\pi_p^{\mathcal{C}h}(X, x)$ of a pointed Chen space (X, x) in the same manner as in the diffeological case ([4, Section 3.1] or [17, Chapter 5]), the result analogous to Theorem 1.4 also holds.

Recall that we have an adjoint pair

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{o}} : \mathcal{C}h \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : \mathfrak{Ch}^{\sharp}$$

(see [31, p. 105] or [1, Section 2]). We can easily see that $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{o}}, \mathfrak{Ch}^{\sharp})$ is a Quillen pair ([15, p. 153]). That $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{o}}, \mathfrak{Ch}^{\sharp})$ is a pair of Quillen equivalences and that $(|\ |_{\mathcal{D}}, S^{\mathcal{D}})$ is a pair of Quillen equivalences are shown in the succeeding paper.

A Diffeology of $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$

In this appendix, we see that $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ satisfies neither Axiom 3 nor 4 for $p > 1$, which is why we have newly introduced the standard p-simplices Δ^p.

The following lemma shows that the set $\{\Delta^p_{\rm sub}\}_{p\geq 0}$ satisfies Axioms 1 and 2.

Lemma A.1. (1) *The underlying topological space of $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ is the topological standard p-simplex for $p \geq 0$.*
(2) *Any affine map $f : \Delta^p_{\rm sub} \longrightarrow \Delta^q_{\rm sub}$ is smooth.*

Proof. (1) The result follows from Lemma 2.15.
(2) Obvious. □

Using Lemma A.1(2), we can define the functor

$$|\ |'_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

by $|K|'_{\mathcal{D}} = \operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow K} \Delta^n_{\rm sub}$ (cf. Section 1.2). The following proposition shows that $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ satisfies neither Axiom 3 nor 4 for $p > 1$. Recall the definition of the horn Λ^p_k, and note that $\Lambda^p_{k\ {\rm sub}}$ is a diffeological subspace of $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ (cf. Section 1.2).

Proposition A.2. (1) *Let K be a subcomplex of $\Delta[p]$. Suppose that K is a connected complex that cannot be generated by any single simplex. Then, the canonical injection*

$$|K|'_{\mathcal{D}} \longhookrightarrow \Delta^p_{\rm sub}$$

is not a $\mathcal{D}$-embedding.
(2) *$\Lambda^p_{k\ {\rm sub}}$ is not a retract of $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ for $p > 1$.*

Proof. (1) Let $|K|'$ denote the underlying set of $|K|'_{\mathcal{D}}$, and regard it as a subset of Δ^p via the canonical injection. Note that $|K|'_{\rm sub}$ is a diffeological subspace of $\Delta^p_{\rm sub}$. We show that $id : |K|'_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow |K|'_{\rm sub}$ is not a diffeomorphism.

An n-simplex of $\Delta[p]$ is a monotonic map

$$u : [n] = \{0, \cdots, n\} \longrightarrow [p] = \{0, \cdots, p\},$$

which is also denoted by a sequence

$$u(0) \leq \cdots \leq u(n).$$

By the assumption, there are maximal nondegenerate simplices of K

$$i_0 \leq \cdots \leq i_l \ \text{ and } \ j_0 \leq \cdots \leq j_m$$

such that $\{i_0, \cdots, i_l\} \cap \{j_0, \cdots, j_m\} \neq \phi$. Thus, we can easily construct a smooth injection

$$c : (-1, 1) \longrightarrow |K|'_{\rm sub}$$

such that

- $c((-1,0))$ is contained in the open simplex spanned by the vertices $(i_0), \cdots, (i_l)$.
- $c((0,1))$ is contained in the open simplex spanned by the vertices $(j_0), \cdots (j_m)$.

(cf. [17, Excercise 15 2)].) However, the injection

$$c : (-1,1) \longrightarrow |K|'_{\mathcal{D}}$$

is not smooth since $c : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \longrightarrow |K|'_{\mathcal{D}}$ does not factor through

$$|\sigma|'_{\mathcal{D}} : \Delta^n_{\mathrm{sub}} \longrightarrow |K|'_{\mathcal{D}}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $\sigma \in \Delta \downarrow K$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.1(1) and [3, p. 90] for the diffeology of $|K|'_{\mathcal{D}} = \operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta \downarrow K} \Delta^n_{\mathrm{sub}}$).

(2) Suppose that there is a retraction $r : \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}} \longrightarrow \Lambda^p_{k\ \mathrm{sub}}$ in $\mathcal{D}$. Consider the solid arrow diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}} & \xrightarrow{r} & \Lambda^p_{k\ \mathrm{sub}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}^p & \xrightarrow{\overline{r}} & \mathbb{A}^p \end{array}$$

of diffeological spaces. By Remark A.3 below, there is a smooth map $\overline{r}$ defined on an open neighborhood of the vertex (k) in $\mathbb{A}^p$, which makes the above diagram commutative near (k). Since the Jacobi matrix of $\overline{r}$ at (k) is the identity matrix, $\overline{r}$ is a local diffeomorphism by the inverse function theorem, which is a contradiction. □

Remark A.3. Set $K = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 \geq 0, \ldots, x_n \geq 0\}$. Proposition 24.10 in [21] shows that any smooth map f from the Frölicher subspace K of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$ extends to a smooth map from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$ (see [21, Section 23] for Frölicher spaces). Thus, in order to use this result in the diffeological context (cf. the proof of Proposition A2(2)), we need the following observation which follows from Boman's theorem ([21, Corollary 3.14]). Let f be a set-theoretic map from a subset A of $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) f is a smooth map from the Frölicher subspace A to $\mathbb{R}^m$.

(ii) f is a smooth map from the diffeological subspace A to $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Remark A.4. By the argument in the proof of Proposition A.2(2), we also see that $(\Lambda^p_k - \dot{\Delta}^{p-1}_{(k)})_{\mathrm{sub}}$ is not a retract of $\Delta^p_{\hat{k}\ \mathrm{sub}}$ for $p > 1$ (see Definition 4.1 for the definitions of $\dot{\Delta}^{p-1}_{(k)}$ and $\Delta^p_{\hat{k}}$). On the other hand, $\Lambda^p_k - \dot{\Delta}^{p-1}_{(k)}$ is a retract of $\Delta^p_{\hat{k}}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ (Lemma 6.1). Noticing that $proj : A \times B \longrightarrow B$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-quotient map for $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ with A nonempty, we see that $\mathrm{sk}_{p-2}\ \Delta^p$ is just the set of $x \in \Delta^p$ such that the restriction of $id : \Delta^p \longrightarrow \Delta^p_{\mathrm{sub}}$ to any open neighborhood of x is not a diffeomorphism (see Lemmas 3.1 and 4.2, Proposition 4.5, and Remark 4.7(2)).

References

[1] J. Baez and A. Hoffnung, *Convenient categories of smooth spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **363** (2011), no. 11, 5789-5825.

[2] C. Blohmann, M. C. B. Fernandes and A. Weinstein, *Groupoid symmetry and constraints in general relativity,* Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **15** (2013), no. 1, 1250061.

[3] J. D. Christensen, G. Sinnamon and E. Wu, *The D-topology for diffeological spaces,* Pacific Journal of Mathematics **272** (2014), no. 1, 87-110.

[4] J. D. Christensen and E. Wu, *The homotopy theory of diffeological spaces,* New York J. Math **20** (2014) 1269-1303.

[5] J. D. Christensen and E. Wu, *The homotopy theory of diffeological spaces,* preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.6394.

[6] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam, *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory,* Draft of book available at http://math.northwestern.edu/˜costello/factorization.pdf.

[7] P. Dazord, *Lie groups and algebras in infinite dimension: a new approach,* Contemporary Mathematics **179** (1994) 17-44.

[8] D. Dugger, *Notes on Delta-generated spaces,* preprint, http://math.uoregon. edu/ddugger/delta. html (2003).

[9] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spalinski, *Homotopy theories and model categories,* Handbook of algebraic topology (1995) 73-126.

[10] L. Fajstrup and J. Rosický, *A convenient category for directed homotopy,* Theory and applications of categories **21** (2008), no. 1, 7-20.

[11] A. Frölicher and A. Kriegl, *Linear spaces and differentiation theory*, Vol. 13, John Wiley and Sons Inc, (1988).

[12] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy, Theory*, Birkhäuser, Verlag, Basel (1999).

[13] T. Haraguchi and K. Shimakawa, *A model structure on the category of diffeological spaces,* preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5668.

[14] G. Hector, *Géométrie et topologie des espaces difféologiques,* Analysis and geometry in foliated manifolds (Santiago de Compostela, 1994) (1995) 55-80.

[15] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, No. 99, American Mathematical Soc, (2009).

[16] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, No. 63, American Mathematical Soc, (2007).

[17] P. Iglesias-Zemmour, *Diffeology,* Vol. 185, American Mathematical Soc, (2013).

[18] P. Iglesias-Zemmour and Y. Karshon, *Smooth Lie group actions are parametrized diffeological subgroups,* Proceedings of American Mathematical Society **140** (2012), no. 2, 731-739.

[19] P. Iglesias, Y. Karshon and M. Zadka, *Orbifolds as diffeologies,* Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **362** (2010), no. 6, 2811-2831.

[20] H. Kihara, *Minimal fibrations and the organizing theorem of simplicial homotopy theory,* Ricerche di Matematica **63** (2014), no. 1, 79-91.

[21] A. Kriegl and P. W. Michor, *The convenient setting of global analysis,* Vol. 53, American Mathematical Society (1997).

[22] F. Lárusson, *Model structures and the Oka principle*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **192** (2004), no. 1, 203-223.

[23] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5 1998

[24] J. P. May and K. Ponto, *More concise algebraic topology: localization, completion, and model categories,* University of Chicago Press, (2011).

[25] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, A^1*-homotopy theory of schemes*, Publications Mathmatiques de l'IHES **90** (1999), no. 1, 45-143.

[26] P. A. Østvær, *Homotopy theory of C*-algebras*, Springer Science & Business Media, (2010).

[27] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Vol. 43 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, (1967).

[28] K. Shimakawa, K. Yoshida and T. Haraguchi, *Homology and cohomology via enriched bifunctors,* preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.3336.

[29] J. M. Souriau, *Groupes différentiels,* Lecture notes in mathematics Springer Verlag, New-York Vol. **836** (1980) 91-128.

[30] J. M. Souriau, *Groupes différentiels et physique mathématique,* Collection travaux en cours Hermann, Paris (1984) 75-79.

[31] A. Stacey, *Comparative smootheology,* Theory and Applications of Categories **25** (2011), no. 4, 64-117.

[32] K. Waldorf, *Transgression to loop spaces and its inverse, III: Gerbes and thin fusion bundles,* Advances in Mathematics **231** (2012), no. 6, 3445-3472.

[33] E. Wu, *A homotopy theory for diffeological spaces,* Diss. The University of Western Ontario London, (2012).

[34] O. Wyler, *Convenient categories for topology,* General Topology and its Applications **3** (1973), no. 3, 225-242.